JCAMECH

Vol. 50, No. 2, December 2019, pp 413-419 DOI: 10.22059/jcamech.2019.293497.458

Computational Studies on Mechanical Properties of Carbon-based Nanostructures Reinforced Nanocomposites

Saeed Norouzi^{1,*} Abbas Barati², Reza Noroozi¹

¹ College of Engineering, School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Guilan, Rasht, Guilan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 04 December 2019 Accepted: 14 December 2019

Keywords: Carbon Nanostructures Nanocomposites Representative Volume Element(RVE).

ABSTRACT

Computational methods can play a significant role in characterization of the carbonbased nanocomposites by providing simulation results. In this paper, we prepared a brief review of the mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes (CNTs), Graphene, and coiled carbon nanotube (CCNTs) reinforced nanocomposites. Varies simulation studies in mechanical properties of nanocomposites including representative volume element (RVE) approaches using the finite element, multiscale simulation and molecular dynamics studied is mentioned. All the simulation results show a significant role of interphase properties, interphase thickness, elastic properties of nanostructure, various loading conditions and orientation of the nanostructure on mechanical behavior of nanostructure reinforced nanocomposite. Some researchers employed various approaches for comparing simulation results of the effective elastic properties of nanostructures reinforced nanocomposite. Although it is a huge challenge for scientists to make a connection between MD simulations and continuum mechanics, in some researches scientists tried to couple MD and continuum mechanics for more precise results in nanocomposites.

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology can appropriately be defined as researchers at atomic and molecular scales in designing, modeling, fabrication, and manipulation. This topic is a multi-disciplinary field of different fundamental sciences and engineering. Nowadays, scientists are looking for new and advanced materials to draw out their utilization in order to improve world life quality[1-4]. Nanomaterials are one of the most recent and alluring fields in which several studies have been done in especially in design and fabrication[5-16]. The significance of nanomaterials becomes remarkable after an investigation of nanomaterials to use them in nanoelectronic circuits of new Although materials in nanoscale have excellent sensors. characteristics, carbon-based materials are more interesting for some of the scientists because of their unique mechanical, thermal and electrical properties [17]. Also, Nanoscale materials, with their high thermal, electrical and mechanical properties, have been widely used as reinforcements for improving mechanical properties of composites without increasing their weight significantly. For the same amount of mass, nanomaterials have a relatively high surface area to volume ratio as compared to larger forms of the material, which makes them more reactive[18]. Additionally, since the transference of load between matrix and fillers in composite materials occurs through their contacting surfaces, reinforcement with nanomaterials could be more effective than micro and macro reinforcement.

Nanomaterials which are mostly used to enhance the properties of nanocomposites are as follows: graphene [19-30], graphite [31-37] carbon nanotubes (CNTs)[38-51], graphene spirals[52, 53], coiled carbon nanotubes (CCNTs) [54-67] and nanoclays [68-71]. In this review we have mentioned varies simulation studies in mechanical properties of nanocomposites including representative volume element (RVE) approaches using the finite element, multiscale simulation and molecular dynamics studies.

2. Carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites

CNTs are allotropes of carbon that have cylindrical structures, in which carbon atoms are bonded together in exhibit hexagonal arrangements. These nanostructures extraordinary properties that make them invaluable for many engineering applications. For instance, these lightweight structures are the strongest and stiffest materials that have been achieved by scientists to date and accordingly are widely used as reinforcements in nanocomposites. The development of new composite materials has long been of interest to researchers. Traditional CNTs are strong reinforcements for composite materials; incorporation of these fillers into the polymer matrix, however, can reduce fracture toughness of composite and increase its brittleness. Liu et al [72] employed representative volume element (RVE) approaches using the finite element method for simulation the effective elastic properties of CNT

reinforced nanocomposite. Their results show that the reinforcing capabilities of the carbon nanotubes in a matrix are a significant influence on increasing stiffness of the nanocomposite. In another study [73] representative volume element (RVE) model is created to investigate the mechanical behavior of Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) reinforced rubber nanocomposites using multiscale finite element method. Anifantis et al [74] investigated the effect of the interface on the effective elastic properties of CNT reinforced nanocomposite for various volume fractions using representative cylindrical volume element model. Ayatollahi et al [48] presented a multiscale simulation to investigate the nonlinear properties of SWCN reinforced nanocomposite under various loading conditions. Their results show that the ratio is the important factor in elastic properties of nanocomposite; also the strong interphase has more effects on the nanocomposite Stiffness in comparing weaker interphase. The effects of pinhole defects and waviness of carbon nanotube on the mechanical properties of nanocomposite are studied by Joshi et al [75]. In this study, the mechanical properties of representative volume element (RVE) model for nanocomposite are obtained for waviness index of carbon nanotube; also the effect of number and type of the defects on stiffness of nanocomposite is evaluated. According to the results with increasing number of pinhole defects, as well as with increasing the waviness number the stiffness of nanocomposite decreases. In another study [76] the effect of carbon nanotube (CNT) orientation on the mechanical properties, including Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of nanocomposites under lateral and axial load was examined using representative volume element (RVE). Joshi and Upadhyay [77] investigated the effects of interphase properties on mechanical behavior of long and short multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) reinforced nanocomposite. Gupta and Harsha [78] studied the effects of vacancies on zigzag and armchair carbon nanotube on Young's modulus of CNT reinforced nanocomposite. Their results show that the presence of a vacancy on CNT significantly reduced the stiffness of nanocomposites.

Furthermore, some researchers studied the mechanical properties of CNT-based nanocomposites using molecular dynamics simulations. Odegard *et al* [79] studied the bulk elastic properties of a functionalized and nonfunctionalized single-walled carbon nanotube reinforced polyethylene nanocomposite in crystalline and amorphous polyethylene matrix using a hierarchical multiscale method. Their results reveal that the stiffness of nanocomposites for functionalized carbon nanotubes are less or equal to those of the nanocomposite without functionalizing nanotubes. Al-Ostaz *et al* [80] investigate the elastic properties, more precisely the engineering constants of carbon nanotubes and nanocomposites thereof with aligned and randomly oriented nanoparticles using molecular dynamics simulations.

Meguid et al [81] exhibited a different multiscale method to evaluate the elastic and interfacial properties of carbon nanotube (CNT)-reinforced nanocomposites. They used the two-step approach to estimate the bulk properties of nanocomposite, firs molecular dynamics simulation, and Second, the micromechanics models and combined Monte Carlo finiteelement (FE) modeling. Wu et al. [82] worked on carbon nanotube and core-shell nanowire structures; they discussed electromechanical and resistance-change memory devices.

3. Graphene reinforced nanocomposites

Graphene sheets can be considered as an efficient substitution if their high electrical conductivity can be investigated to obtain a strong current-generated magnetic field [83]. Graphene nanostructures are scientifically and commercially crucial due to their special molecular structure, which is monoatomic in thickness, rigorously two-dimensional, and highly conjugated. Therefore, graphene presents extraordinary electrical, optical, thermal and mechanical properties. Liu et al. [84] discussed the surface modification of graphene which has a considerable advantage in sensors.

Moreover, they investigated the chemical sensors and biosensors and their application in different aspects. Zhang et al. [85] applied MD analysis to find the elastic modulus, fracture strain and fracture stress of graphene. They proved that the temperature gradient has more influence on graphene mechanical properties in comparison with the layer number in the multilayer graphene. Shiu and Tsai [86] used MD simulations to study the graphene reinforced nanocomposites different morphologies and revealed that composites with intercalated graphene possess greater elastic modulus than composites reinforced by graphene platelets. MD simulation provides detailed information on the deformation and damage of nanomechanism[26, 87-91].

On the other hand, to investigate the specimen's properties, continuum mechanics is more efficient. Although it is a huge challenge for scientists to make a connection between MD simulations and continuum mechanics, in some researches scientists tried to couple MD and continuum mechanics for graphene composites. By employing a combined approach of MD, molecular structural mechanics and FEM the elastic constants of nanocomposites were calculated [92]. Chandra et al. [93] applied multiscale modeling to estimate the effect of graphene sheets' orientation on the stiffness of the composites. Dai and Mishnaevsky [94] presented a 3D computational model of graphene-reinforced polymeric nanocomposites to evaluate damage and fracture of these materials. They applied inverse modeling to evaluate interface properties; it is found that the mechanical properties of the interface are almost 75% higher than pure matrix. Also, they found that by increasing the aspect ratio of the fillers, elastic modulus increases. K. Hbaieb et al [68] compared the results of two and three-dimensional finite element models for the stiffness of the nanocomposite. They show that the two-dimensional model is simpler and does not accurately predict the stiffness. Also, The MorieTanaka model results are compared with the MorieTanaka model. The results for randomly oriented particles show that the MorieTanaka model in compare three-dimensional model overestimates the stiffness of clay reinforced nanocomposites.

4. Coiled Carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites

CCNTs are a form of CNTs where unique properties of straight CNTs are combined with morphological characteristics to promote the properties of CNTs [64, 95]. For example, load transfer and bonding strength between CCNTs and polymer matrix are greater than those between straight CNTs and polymer

matrix in nanocomposites [65, 96]. Due to these potentials, more attention is paid to CCNTs, and CCNTs reinforced nanocomposites in recent years. Lau et al. [65] examined synthesis methods of CCNTs and their potential applications in advanced composites. They stated that the use of CCNTs as reinforcements not only can increase composites' strength but also can increase their toughness. Li et al. [54] investigated the mechanical behaviour of CCNTs reinforced epoxy-based composites using tensile and nano-indentation tests. They also studied dispersion and interlock action of CCNTs embedded in epoxy resin by in situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM). According to this research, the hardness, elastic modulus, and tensile strength of the composites increase by increasing the weight percentage of the fillers. Also, CCNTs dispersed well and interlocked tightly with the matrix. Experimental study of the behaviour of nanomaterials is a challenging task and says little about the physics of deformation process and the effect of various parameters [64]. Also, the results of the tests depend intensively on the fabrication of nanostructures with controlled such characteristics as shape and size [97]. As a consequence, experimental tests to characterize the mechanical response of the nanomaterials have serious limitations. However, computational methods-such as molecular dynamics and finite element-have been proved to be successful. Molecular dynamics simulations are so time-consuming for simulation of mechanical behaviour of complex systems like nanocomposites containing a great number of fillers with various shapes, sizes and orientations then Finite element method, nevertheless, is considered to be a more promising tool for prediction and assessment of the mechanical behavior of such complex systems as carbon-based nanocomposites. The quality and properties of fillers are key to the performance of composite materials. Parameters of fillersnamely geometry, concentration and properties-play an important role in the reinforcement of composite materials. As to nanocomposites, thickness and properties of the interphase layer are other influencing factors [97, 98]. This issue is not true in the case of micro composites as the thickness of the interphase layer is in the range of 1-2 nm [99]. Therefore, it could be neglected in micromechanical modelling. Mortazavi et al. [97] studied the effect of fillers geometry, volume fraction, and properties contrast and, in particular, the effect of interphase thickness and properties contrast on effective thermal conductivity and elastic stiffness of nanocomposites utilizing 3D finite element simulation. The considered geometries for fillers are a long cylinder, sphere, and thin disc. They have found that although the effect of interphase is considerable for spherical fillers, it is less effective when fillers' geometry deviates more from the spherical shape. CCNTs are helical structures that have several geometric parameters such as tube diameter, coil diameter, helix angle and number of coils. When these structures are used as reinforcements in nanocomposites, the parameters mentioned above affect the overall behavior of nanocomposites. Khani et al. [66] developed a 3D finite element model and employed it to study the effect of interphase, volume fraction, orientation and geometric parameters on the elastic behavior of nanocomposite with CCNT fillers. They also proposed an algorithm to answer the question of whether or not nanocomposites with spring fillers are appropriate alternatives to those with single-walled CNT

(SWCNTs) fillers. The authors concluded that SWCNT fillers provide better reinforcement compared to CCNT inclusions with the same volume to surface area ratio.

Among carbon-based nanofillers, recently, a significant number of researches focused on Carbon Nano Coils (CNCs) because of their particular geometry and physical properties. The electric conductivity of CNC was calculated between 107 to 180 s/cm by Hayashida et al. [100]; thus, CNC is an appropriate material for nanoscale electronic applications. The spring constant of CNC was determined equal to 0.12 n/m which has an acceptable agreement with experimental results [101].

Molecular Dynamics (MD) and Molecular Mechanics (MM) are one of the practical methods to study and to model carbonbased nanomaterials. Wu et al. [64, 102] analyzed the CNC's energy absorption capacity. Buckling analysis of CNTs shows that length decrease and diameter increase lead to greater buckling loads. Also, by increasing the pitch number of CNCs, the natural frequencies decline [61]. Ghaderi and Hajiesmili [57] investigated the fracture strain and fracture load of CNCs using MD and Finite element method. They revealed that the fracture load per atom of the CNCs are lower than the corresponding armchair CNTs. Fakhrabadi et al. [103] presented an MM based FEM modeling of CNCs and their application as mass sensors. Besides, FEM has been used in several works to evaluate the influence of interphase zone between fillers and matrix on the nanocomposite's properties.

5. Conclusion

Development in simulation methods for nanocomposites based on carbon nanostructure rapidly evolving this research area. In this review varies simulation studies in mechanical properties of nanocomposites including representative volume element (RVE) approaches using the finite element, multiscale simulation and molecular dynamics studied is mentioned. All the studies show a significant role of interphase properties on mechanical behavior of nanostructure reinforced nanocomposite.

Reference

- 1. Bodaghi, M., et al., 4D printing self-morphing structures. Materials, 2019. **12**(8): p. 1353.
- 2. Ebrahimi, F. and A. Dabbagh, *Mechanics of Nanocomposites: Homogenization and Analysis.* 2020.
- 3. Ebrahimi, F., M. Nouraei, and A. Dabbagh, *Modeling* vibration behavior of embedded graphene-oxide powder-reinforced nanocomposite plates in thermal environment. Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, 2019: p. 1-24.
- 4. Ebrahimi, F. and A. Dabbagh, *A comprehensive review* on modeling of nanocomposite materials and structures. Journal of Computational Applied Mechanics, 2019. **50**(1): p. 197-209.
- 5. Farajpour, M., et al., *Influence of initial edge* displacement on the nonlinear vibration, electrical and magnetic instabilities of magneto-electro-elastic nanofilms. Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, 2019. **26**(17): p. 1469-1481.

- 6. Dastani, K., et al., *Revealing electrical stresses acting* on the surface of protoplast cells under electric field. European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids, 2019. **76**: p. 292-302.
- 7. Hadi, A., et al., *Effects of stretching on molecular transfer from cell membrane by forming pores.* Soft Materials, 2019: p. 1-9.
- 8. Hosseini, M., M. Shishesaz, and A. Hadi, *Thermoelastic* analysis of rotating functionally graded micro/nanodisks of variable thickness. Thin-Walled Structures, 2019. **134**: p. 508-523.
- Nejad, M.Z., N. Alamzadeh, and A. Hadi, *Thermoelastoplastic analysis of FGM rotating thick* cylindrical pressure vessels in linear elastic-fully plastic condition. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2018. 154: p. 410-422.
- Nejad, M.Z., et al., Bending analysis of bi-directional functionally graded Euler-Bernoulli nano-beams using integral form of Eringen's non-local elasticity theory. Structural Engineering and Mechanics, 2018. 67(4): p. 417-425.
- 11. Hosseini, M., et al., *A review of size-dependent elasticity for nanostructures.* Journal of Computational Applied Mechanics, 2018. **49**(1): p. 197-211.
- 12. Zamani Nejad, M., M. Jabbari, and A. Hadi, *A review* of functionally graded thick cylindrical and conical shells. Journal of Computational Applied Mechanics, 2017. **48**(2): p. 357-370.
- 13. Nejad, M.Z., A. Hadi, and A. Rastgoo, *Buckling* analysis of arbitrary two-directional functionally graded Euler–Bernoulli nano-beams based on nonlocal elasticity theory. International Journal of Engineering Science, 2016. **103**: p. 1-10.
- Nejad, M.Z. and A. Hadi, Non-local analysis of free vibration of bi-directional functionally graded Euler– Bernoulli nano-beams. International Journal of Engineering Science, 2016. 105: p. 1-11.
- Nejad, M.Z. and A. Hadi, Eringen's non-local elasticity theory for bending analysis of bi-directional functionally graded Euler–Bernoulli nano-beams. International Journal of Engineering Science, 2016. 106: p. 1-9.
- Hadi, A., M.Z. Nejad, and M. Hosseini, Vibrations of three-dimensionally graded nanobeams. International Journal of Engineering Science, 2018. 128: p. 12-23.
- 17. Mittal, G., et al., *A review on carbon nanotubes and graphene as fillers in reinforced polymer nanocomposites.* Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2015. **21**: p. 11-25.
- Hussain, F., et al., *Polymer-matrix nanocomposites*, processing, manufacturing, and application: an overview. Journal of composite materials, 2006. 40(17): p. 1511-1575.
- 19. Dai, G. and L. Mishnaevsky Jr, *Graphene reinforced* nanocomposites: 3D simulation of damage and fracture. Computational Materials Science, 2014. **95**: p. 684-692.

- 20. Dikin, D., et al., *Graphene-based composite materials*. Nature, 2006. **442**(7100): p. 282-286.
- 21. Ramanathan, T., et al., *Functionalized graphene sheets* for polymer nanocomposites. Nature nanotechnology, 2008. **3**(6): p. 327-331.
- 22. Mensah, B., et al., *Graphene-reinforced elastomeric nanocomposites: a review.* Polymer Testing, 2018. **68**: p. 160-184.
- Schopp, S., et al., Functionalized Graphene and Carbon Materials as Components of Styrene-Butadiene Rubber Nanocomposites Prepared by Aqueous Dispersion Blending. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering, 2014. 299(3): p. 319-329.
- Lian, H., et al., Study on modified graphene/butyl rubber nanocomposites. I. Preparation and characterization. Polymer Engineering & Science, 2011. 51(11): p. 2254-2260.
- 25. Gan, L., et al., *Facile preparation of graphene* nanoribbon filled silicone rubber nanocomposite with improved thermal and mechanical properties. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2015. **69**: p. 237-242.
- Lin, F., Y. Xiang, and H.-S. Shen, *Temperature* dependent mechanical properties of graphene reinforced polymer nanocomposites—a molecular dynamics simulation. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2017. 111: p. 261-269.
- Kim, H., A.A. Abdala, and C.W. Macosko, Graphene/polymer nanocomposites. Macromolecules, 2010. 43(16): p. 6515-6530.
- 28. Young, R.J., et al., *The mechanics of graphene nanocomposites: a review*. Composites Science and Technology, 2012. **72**(12): p. 1459-1476.
- Daneshmehr, A., A. Rajabpoor, and A. Hadi, Size dependent free vibration analysis of nanoplates made of functionally graded materials based on nonlocal elasticity theory with high order theories. International Journal of Engineering Science, 2015. 95: p. 23-35.
- 30. Ebrahimi, F. and A. Dabbagh, *Wave dispersion* characteristics of embedded graphene platelets-reinforced composite microplates. The European Physical Journal Plus, 2018. **133**(4): p. 151.
- 31. Sengupta, R., et al., A review on the mechanical and electrical properties of graphite and modified graphite reinforced polymer composites. Progress in polymer science, 2011. **36**(5): p. 638-670.
- 32. Zheng, W., X. Lu, and S.C. Wong, *Electrical and mechanical properties of expanded graphite-reinforced high-density polyethylene*. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2004. **91**(5): p. 2781-2788.
- 33. Li, B. and W.-H. Zhong, *Review on polymer/graphite nanoplatelet nanocomposites*. Journal of materials science, 2011. **46**(17): p. 5595-5614.
- 34. Cho, J., J. Luo, and I.M. Daniel, *Mechanical* characterization of graphite/epoxy nanocomposites by *multi-scale analysis*. Composites science and technology, 2007. **67**(11-12): p. 2399-2407.
- 35. Kalaitzidou, K., H. Fukushima, and L.T. Drzal, Mechanical properties and morphological

characterization of exfoliated graphite-polypropylene nanocomposites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 2007. **38**(7): p. 1675-1682.

- Yasmin, A. and I.M. Daniel, *Mechanical and thermal properties of graphite platelet/epoxy composites*. Polymer, 2004. 45(24): p. 8211-8219.
- Yasmin, A., J.-J. Luo, and I.M. Daniel, *Processing of expanded graphite reinforced polymer nanocomposites*. Composites Science and Technology, 2006. 66(9): p. 1182-1189.
- 38. Gojny, F., et al., *Carbon nanotube-reinforced epoxycomposites: enhanced stiffness and fracture toughness at low nanotube content.* Composites science and technology, 2004. **64**(15): p. 2363-2371.
- Gojny, F.H., et al., Influence of different carbon nanotubes on the mechanical properties of epoxy matrix composites–a comparative study. Composites Science and Technology, 2005. 65(15-16): p. 2300-2313.
- 40. Andrews, R. and M. Weisenberger, *Carbon nanotube polymer composites*. Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science, 2004. **8**(1): p. 31-37.
- 41. Spitalsky, Z., et al., *Carbon nanotube–polymer* composites: chemistry, processing, mechanical and electrical properties. Progress in polymer science, 2010. **35**(3): p. 357-401.
- 42. Thostenson, E.T., Z. Ren, and T.-W. Chou, *Advances in the science and technology of carbon nanotubes and their composites: a review.* Composites science and technology, 2001. **61**(13): p. 1899-1912.
- 43. Schadler, L., S.a. Giannaris, and P. Ajayan, *Load transfer in carbon nanotube epoxy composites*. Applied physics letters, 1998. **73**(26): p. 3842-3844.
- 44. Qian, D., et al., *Load transfer and deformation mechanisms in carbon nanotube-polystyrene composites.* Applied physics letters, 2000. **76**(20): p. 2868-2870.
- 45. Hu, Z., et al., *Mechanical property characterization of carbon nanotube modified polymeric nanocomposites by computer modeling.* Composites Part B: Engineering, 2014. **56**: p. 100-108.
- Lu, X. and Z. Hu, Mechanical property evaluation of single-walled carbon nanotubes by finite element modeling. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2012.
 43(4): p. 1902-1913.
- Montazeri, A. and R. Naghdabadi, *Investigation of the interphase effects on the mechanical behavior of carbon nanotube polymer composites by multiscale modeling*. Journal of applied polymer science, 2010. 117(1): p. 361-367.
- 48. Ayatollahi, M., S. Shadlou, and M. Shokrieh, Multiscale modeling for mechanical properties of carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites subjected to different types of loading. Composite Structures, 2011. **93**(9): p. 2250-2259.
- 49. Zhu, R., E. Pan, and A. Roy, *Molecular dynamics study* of the stress-strain behavior of carbon-nanotube reinforced Epon 862 composites. Materials Science and Engineering: A, 2007. **447**(1-2): p. 51-57.

- 50. Thostenson, E.T. and T.-W. Chou, *On the elastic properties of carbon nanotube-based composites: modelling and characterization.* Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 2003. **36**(5): p. 573.
- 51. Rahmani, O., et al., Dynamic response of a double, single-walled carbon nanotube under a moving nanoparticle based on modified nonlocal elasticity theory considering surface effects. Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, 2017. **24**(15): p. 1274-1291.
- Norouzi, S. and M.M.S. Fakhrabadi, Nanomechanical properties of single-and double-layer graphene spirals: a molecular dynamics simulation. Appl. Phys. A., 2019. 125(5): p. 321.
- 53. Norouzi, S. and M.M.S. Fakhrabadi, *Anisotropic nature* of thermal conductivity in graphene spirals revealed by molecular dynamics simulations. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 2019: p. 109228.
- 54. Li, X.-F., K.-T. Lau, and Y.-S. Yin, *Mechanical* properties of epoxy-based composites using coiled carbon nanotubes. Composites science and technology, 2008. **68**(14): p. 2876-2881.
- 55. Lau, K.-t., M. Lu, and K. Liao, *Improved mechanical* properties of coiled carbon nanotubes reinforced epoxy nanocomposites. Composites Part A: applied science and manufacturing, 2006. **37**(10): p. 1837-1840.
- 56. Pipes, R.B. and P. Hubert, *Helical carbon nanotube arrays: mechanical properties*. Composites Science and Technology, 2002. **62**(3): p. 419-428.
- Ghaderi, S.H. and E. Hajiesmaili, Nonlinear analysis of coiled carbon nanotubes using the molecular dynamics finite element method. Materials Science and Engineering: A, 2013. 582: p. 225-234.
- Ju, S.-P., et al., A molecular dynamics study of the mechanical properties of a double-walled carbon nanocoil. Computational Materials Science, 2014. 82: p. 92-99.
- 59. Feng, C., et al., *Predicting mechanical properties of carbon nanosprings based on molecular mechanics simulation.* Composite Structures, 2014. **114**: p. 41-50.
- 60. Ghaderi, S.H. and E. Hajiesmaili, *Molecular structural mechanics applied to coiled carbon nanotubes*. Computational Materials Science, 2012. **55**: p. 344-349.
- 61. Fakhrabadi, M.M.S., et al., *Investigation of buckling* and vibration properties of hetero-junctioned and coiled carbon nanotubes. Computational Materials Science, 2013. **73**: p. 93-112.
- Tian, L. and X. Guo, Fracture and defect evolution in carbon nanocoil–A molecular dynamics study. Computational Materials Science, 2015. 103: p. 126-133.
- 63. Wu, J., et al., *Nanohinge-Induced Plasticity of Helical Carbon Nanotubes*. Small, 2013. **9**(21): p. 3561-3566.
- 64. Wu, J., et al., *Giant stretchability and reversibility of tightly wound helical carbon nanotubes.* Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2013. **135**(37): p. 13775-13785.

- 65. Lau, K.T., M. Lu, and D. Hui, *Coiled carbon* nanotubes: Synthesis and their potential applications in advanced composite structures. Compos. Part. B-Eng., 2006. **37**(6): p. 437-448.
- 66. Khani, N., M. Yildiz, and B. Koc, *Elastic properties of coiled carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposite: a finite element study.* Mater. Design., 2016. **109**: p. 123-132.
- Hosseini, M., et al., Size-dependent stress analysis of single-wall carbon nanotube based on strain gradient theory. International Journal of Applied Mechanics, 2017. 9(06): p. 1750087.
- 68. Hbaieb, K., et al., *Modelling stiffness of polymer/clay nanocomposites*. Polymer., 2007. **48**(3): p. 901-909.
- 69. Kim, B.C. and S.W. Park, *Fracture toughness of the nano-particle reinforced epoxy composite*. Composite structures, 2008. **86**(1-3): p. 69-77.
- 70. Ho, M.-W., et al., *Mechanical properties of epoxybased composites using nanoclays.* Composite structures, 2006. **75**(1-4): p. 415-421.
- Adeli, M.M., et al., *Torsional vibration of nano-cone* based on nonlocal strain gradient elasticity theory. The European Physical Journal Plus, 2017. 132(9): p. 393.
- 72. Liu, Y.J. and X. Chen, *Evaluations of the effective* material properties of carbon nanotube-based composites using a nanoscale representative volume element. Mechanics of materials, 2003. **35**(1-2): p. 69-81.
- 73. Georgantzinos, S., G. Giannopoulos, and N. Anifantis, Investigation of stress-strain behavior of single walled carbon nanotube/rubber composites by a multi-scale finite element method. Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics, 2009. **52**(3): p. 158-164.
- Giannopoulos, G., S. Georgantzinos, and N. Anifantis, *A semi-continuum finite element approach to evaluate the Young's modulus of single-walled carbon nanotube reinforced composites*. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2010. 41(8): p. 594-601.
- 75. Joshi, U.A., S.C. Sharma, and S. Harsha, *Analysis of elastic properties of carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites with pinhole defects.* Computational Materials Science, 2011. **50**(11): p. 3245-3256.
- 76. Joshi, U.A., S.C. Sharma, and S. Harsha, *Effect of carbon nanotube orientation on the mechanical properties of nanocomposites*. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2012. 43(4): p. 2063-2071.
- 77. Joshi, P. and S.H. Upadhyay, *Effect of interphase on elastic behavior of multiwalled carbon nanotube reinforced composite*. Computational Materials Science, 2014. **87**: p. 267-273.
- Gupta, A. and S. Harsha, Analysis of mechanical properties of carbon nanotube reinforced polymer composites using multi-scale finite element modeling approach. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2016. 95: p. 172-178.
- 79. Odegard, G.M., S.-J.V. Frankland, and T.S. Gates, Effect of nanotube functionalization on the elastic

properties of polyethylene nanotube composites. Aiaa Journal, 2005. **43**(8): p. 1828-1835.

- Al-Ostaz, A., et al., Molecular dynamics simulation of SWCNT-polymer nanocomposite and its constituents. Journal of Materials Science, 2008. 43(1): p. 164-173.
- 81. Alian, A.R. and S.A. Meguid, *Multiscale modeling of nanoreinforced composites*, in *Advances in Nanocomposites*. 2016, Springer. p. 1-39.
- Novoselov, K.S., et al., *Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films*. science, 2004. **306**(5696): p. 666-669.
- 83. Bunch, J.S., et al., *Electromechanical resonators from graphene sheets*. Science, 2007. **315**(5811): p. 490-493.
- 84. Meyer, J.C., et al., *The structure of suspended graphene sheets*. Nature, 2007. **446**(7131): p. 60.
- 85. Zhang, Y.Y. and Y. Gu, *Mechanical properties of graphene: Effects of layer number, temperature and isotope.* Computational Materials Science, 2013. **71**: p. 197-200.
- Shiu, S.-C. and J.-L. Tsai, *Characterizing thermal and* mechanical properties of graphene/epoxy nanocomposites. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2014. 56: p. 691-697.
- Rahman, R. and A. Haque, Molecular modeling of crosslinked graphene–epoxy nanocomposites for characterization of elastic constants and interfacial properties. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2013. 54: p. 353-364.
- Mokhalingam, A., D. Kumar, and A. Srivastava, Mechanical behaviour of graphene reinforced aluminum nano composites. Materials Today: Proceedings, 2017. 4(2): p. 3952-3958.
- 89. Hadden, C.M., et al., *Mechanical properties of graphene nanoplatelet/carbon fiber/epoxy hybrid composites: Multiscale modeling and experiments.* Carbon, 2015. **95**: p. 100-112.
- 90. Alkhateb, H., A. Al-Ostaz, and A. Cheng, *Molecular* dynamics simulations of graphite-vinylester nanocomposites and their constituents. Carbon letters, 2010. **11**(4): p. 316-324.
- 91. Lu, C.-T., et al., *A comparison of the elastic properties* of graphene-and fullerene-reinforced polymer composites: the role of filler morphology and size. Scientific reports, 2016. **6**: p. 31735.
- 92. Montazeri, A. and H. Rafii-Tabar, *Multiscale modeling* of graphene-and nanotube-based reinforced polymer nanocomposites. Physics Letters A, 2011. **375**(45): p. 4034-4040.
- 93. Chandra, Y., et al., *Multiscale hybrid atomistic-FE approach for the nonlinear tensile behaviour of graphene nanocomposites*. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 2013. **46**: p. 147-153.
- 94. Dai, G. and L.J.C.M.S. Mishnaevsky Jr, Graphene reinforced nanocomposites: 3D simulation of damage and fracture. Computational Materials Science, 2014.
 95: p. 684-692.
- 95. Liu, L., F. Liu, and J. Zhao, *Curved carbon nanotubes:* From unique geometries to novel properties and

peculiar applications. Nano Research, 2014. 7(5): p. 626-657.

- 96. Park, S.-H., et al., Superior electrical and mechanical characteristics observed through the incorporation of coiled carbon nanotubes, in comparison to non-coiled forms, in polymers. Polymer, 2013. **54**(4): p. 1318-1322.
- 97. Mortazavi, B., J. Bardon, and S. Ahzi, *Interphase effect* on the elastic and thermal conductivity response of polymer nanocomposite materials: 3D finite element study. Comput. Mater. Sci., 2013. **69**: p. 100-106.
- Singh, V., et al., Graphene based materials: past, present and future. Progress in materials science, 2011.
 56(8): p. 1178-1271.
- 99. Mütschele, T. and R. Kirchheim, *Hydrogen as a probe for the average thickness of a grain boundary*. Scripta metallurgica, 1987. **21**(8): p. 1101-1104.
- Hayashida, T., L. Pan, and Y. Nakayama, *Mechanical and electrical properties of carbon tubule nanocoils*. Physica B: Condensed Matter, 2002. **323**(1-4): p. 352-353.
- 101. Chen, X., et al., *Mechanics of a carbon nanocoil*. Nano Letters, 2003. **3**(9): p. 1299-1304.
- 102. Wu, J., et al., Carbon Nanotubes: Nanohinge-Induced Plasticity of Helical Carbon Nanotubes (Small 21/2013). Small, 2013. **9**(21): p. 3545-3545.
- 103. Fakhrabadi, M.M.S., et al., *Vibrational analysis of carbon nanotubes using molecular mechanics and artificial neural network*. Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures, 2011. **44**(3): p. 565-578.