Effect of a thermal power plant waste fly ash on leguminous and non-leguminous leafy vegetables in extracting maximum benefits from P and K fertilization

Inam, A.¹ and Sahay, S.^{2*}

 ¹ Plant Physiology and Biochemistry Section, Department of Botany, Women's College Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P), India
² Plant Physiology, Biochemistry and Environmental Botany Section, Department of Botany Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P), India

Received: 15 Jan. 2015

Accepted: 13 Mar. 2015

ABSTRACT: Although the Indian population is largely vegetarian, not much attention has been given to the cultivation of vegetables, as compared to other crops like cereals, pulses and oil seeds. Therefore, the present study was conducted on two leafy vegetables, spinach (*Spanacia oleracea* L.) and methi (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) commonly grown in Aligarh, as the two popular vegetables of Indian diet. The study was conducted for two successive years and during the first year, phosphorus and fly ash interactions with a uniform dose of nitrogen and potassium on both vegetables was observed. During the second year, while keeping nitrogen and phosphorus uniform, potassium and fly ash combinations were studied again with both vegetables, to determine the optimum dose of inorganic fertilizers and fly ash combination. It was observed that fly ash applied at the rate of 15 t ha⁻¹ along with N₄₀P₁₅K₂₀, proved optimum for spinach while in the case of methi, N₂₀P₃₀K₄₀ + FA₁₀ was sufficient. Therefore, both vegetables can safely be grown with 10 to 15 t ha⁻¹ of fly ash and a comparatively lower quantity of NPK.

Keywords: fly ash, methi, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, spinach, thermal power plant.

INTRODUCTION

Over the years, industrialization has enhanced the demand for electricity generation. Therefore, the rapid rise in thermal power generation has posed the problem of environmental pollution of air, along with massive fly ash generation, usually deposited in huge amounts near the power plants. On a global scale, the annual production of fly ash is estimated to be 56×10^6 metric tonnes (Adriano et al., 1980). Only three percent (3%) of this is utilized, mostly in the manufacturing of fly ash bricks or land filling. An equally negligible amount is used

in the manufacture of pozzolonic cement. Ash experts attribute the current low level of utilization to factors like lack of publicity and knowledge, high cost of production of building materials and most importantly, lack of proper coordination between thermal power plant officials and ash users. It should be pointed out that coal is known to contain many naturally occurring elements, it is therefore not surprising that fly ash can also supply many essential elements like potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus and sulphur in addition to trace elements like chlorine, boron, iron, manganese, zinc and copper etc. Therefore, it can be exploited in combination with chemical fertilizers to

^{*} Corresponding author Email: sahayseema47@gmail.com

increase the yield of various agricultural crops, especially vegetables with lesser NPK doses. In addition to being a nutrient source, it also serves as a soil improver to correct nutrient deficiencies (Hill and Lamp, 1980; Singh et al., 2003; Jala and Goyal, 2006). The use of fly ash for soil amendment while growing field crops was reported a long time ago (Wochok et al., 1976; Elseewi et al., 1978a; Milliner and Street, 1982; El-Mogazi et al., 1988). However, enhancing crop yield by the application of fly ash was reported in the recent work of Yeledhalli et al. (2008), Pandey et al. (2009), Arivozhagen et al. (2011), Bilski et al., (2011a,b), Singh et al., (2011), Gautam et al. (2012), Katiyar et al. (2012), Mahale et al. (2012), and Muduli et al. (2014). In the present study, spinach (Spanacia oleracea L.) and methi (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) were compared, the former (a non-leguminous crop) is unlike the latter (a legume) which can fix nitrogen symbiotically to overcome nitrogen deficiency in fly ash. Both are common leafy vegetables, their leaves as well as the seeds of methi are of medicinal value.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two experiments were conducted on the test crops side by side for two years.. An NPK fertilizer was given a day before sowing. For spinach, P @15 and 30 kg ha⁻¹ with uniform dose of N and K @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ each applied and supplemented with fly ash @ 15 t ha⁻¹. In the following year, K was applied @ 20 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ with a uniform dose of N and P @ 30 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ each while FA was applied @ 15 kg ha⁻¹.

For methi, during the first year, P@ 15 and 30 kg ha⁻¹ with uniform dose of N and K @ 20 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ and FA @ 10 t ha⁻¹ were applied. Similarly, the second year experiment was performed keeping N and P uniform @ 20 and 30 kg ha⁻¹ and FA @ 10 t ha⁻¹ along with K @ 20 and 40 kg ha⁻¹. The treatment details are as shown in Table 1.

Fly ash was collected from Harduaganj thermal power plant located 15 km away

from Aligarh. Fertilizer nitrogen in the form of urea, phosphorus as superphosphate and potassium as muriate of potash were applied. Seeds were sown at the rate of 100 per bed for both crops, to avoid germination failure. Thinning was done to maintain proper distance and weeding was done when needed. Sampling was done at 50 and 80 days after sowing (DAS) for spinach, and at 35, 50 and 65 DAS for methi. For spinach, photosynthetic rate was measured by the method of Tak (2010) in our laboratory, (L-1-COR using 6200) a portable photosynthetic system at 50 DAS. For this purpose, leaves of approximately the same age and size were selected and photosynthesis measurement was undertaken at saturating photosynthetic active radiation $(11009/\mu mol/m^2/s)$ between 1100-1200 hrs. Leaf area was also calculated using a leaf area meter (LA21 systronics India).

All experiments were carried out according to a factorial randomized block design. To confirm the variability of data and validity of results, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted. In order to determine whether differences among the treatments within respective DAS were significant as compared to control, Duncan's Multiple Range test was applied.

	Ist year experiment (Varying doses of phosphorus)	IInd year experiment (Varying doses of potassium)
	For spi	nach
1.	$FA_0 + P_{15} + N_{40}K_{40}$	$FA_0 + K_{20} + N_{30}P_{40}$
2.	$FA_0 + P_{30} + N_{40}K_{40}$	$FA_0 + K_{40} + N_{30}P_{40}$
3.	$FA_{15} + P_{15} + N_{40}K_{40}$	$FA_{15} + K_{20} + N_{30}P_{40}$
4.	$FA_{15} + P_{30} + N_{40}K_{40}$	$FA_{15} + K4_{40} + N_{30}P_{40}$
	For m	ethi
1.	$FA_0 + P_0 + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_0 + K_0 + N_{20}P_{30}$
2.	$FA_0 + P_{15} + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_0 + K_{20} + N_{20}P_{30}$
3.	$FA_0 + P_{30} + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_0 + K_{40} + N_{20}P_{30}$
4.	$FA_{10} + P_0 + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_{10} + K_0 + N_{20}P_{30}$
5.	$FA_{10} + P_{15} + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_{10} + K_{20} + N_{20}P_{30}$
6.	$FA_{10} + P_{30} + N_{20}K_{40}$	$FA_{10} + K_{40} + N_{20}P_{30}$

Subscript values showing the concentration of fly ash in t ha⁻¹ and of NPK in kg ha⁻¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response of growth parameters in spinach

Plant fresh weight, leaf number, leaf area and photosynthetic rate in spinach at 50 DAS gave better response to treatment with $FA_{15}N_{40}P_{15}K_{40}$ showing 128.3% increase in fresh weight. Leaf number also showed an increase of 86.36% and 45.45% with $FA_0N_{40}P_{15}K_{40}$ or $FA_{15}N_{40}P_{30}K_{40}$ at two stages of growth. It seems that for leaf production an important character in leafy vegetables, phosphorus given @ 15 or 30 kg ha⁻¹ was effective (Table 2). Leaf area was also significantly affected by phosphorus in spinach at both stages. Treatment FA₁₅N₄₀P₁₅K₄₀ gave an increase of 18.98 and 17.85%, at the two stages studied. Photosynthetic rate at 50 DAS was maximum when spinach was grown under FA₁₅N₄₀P₁₅K₄₀ showing 19.56% increase (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of fly ash and phosphorus on various growth parameters of spinach

Treatments	Plant (ci	length m)	Plant weig	fresh ht (g)	Plan weigl	t dry ht (g)	Leaf n	umber	Leaf ar	rea (cm²)	Photosynthetic rate (µmol/m ² /sec)
	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS
FA_0P_{15}	24.67	46.00	7.66	48.00	1.02	8.78	7.33	12.33	50.43	103.00	7.33
FA0 P30	27.00	48.00	17.49	54.67	1.33	7.57	10.67	18.67	60.01	121.00	8.76
FA15 P15	24.33	30.00	9.10	37.00	0.81	6.23	13.67	14.00	52.01	107.31	6.23
FA15 P30	20.33	36.33	6.05	33.33	0.523	5.78	9.33	8.67	50.00	85.20	5.77
LSD at 5%	1.597	0.577	1.009	2.685	1.034	NS	4.351	1.772	0.698	2.260	0.765

Subscript values denote the levels of FA in t ha⁻¹ and P in kg ha⁻¹. The dose of N and K was applied @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ uniformly.

Fly ash and P₁₅ increased leaf area which reflected in the photosynthetic rate at 50 DAS. It may be due to the availability of plant required nutrients in fly ash (Mittra et al., 2005; Jala and Goyal, 2006; Panigrahi et al., 2014). Coal is known to contain many naturally occurring elements, it is therefore not surprising that many trace elements were also found in coal ash (Page et al., 1979). Trace elements like B, Cd, Pb, Mo, Ni, Se and Zn were found in higher concentration than the soil and their environmental significance was established in our previous work (Sahay et al., 2014). The impact of each trace element depends on their state in coal ash. It can be seen in the present study and others that the soil of Aligarh fertilized with fly ash, contained trace elements within tolerable limits. Also, the use of fly ash in this study proved beneficial because it modifies soil texture (Fail and Wochok, 1977; Gangloff et al., 2000). Photosynthetic rate is also enhanced by fly ash, it may be due to faster growth where plants prefer to utilize more assimilated nutrients in leaf area

development, so that it can capture more solar radiation which was reflected in photosynthetic rate. Again, it may also be due to the presence of micro and macro nutrients in fly ash, except nitrogen and unavailable phosphorus (Jala and Goyal, 2006). Spinach gave encouraging results during the second year (Table 3) for plant fresh weight, dry weight, leaf number and leaf area. Treatment FA₁₅N₄₀P₁₅K₂₀ proved good, giving 17.03% increase in fresh weight. Dry weight at 50 DAS was not significant but at 80 DAS treatments $FA_0N_{40}P_{30}K_{20}$ and $FA_{15}N_{40}P_{30}K_{40}$ were good showing 6.81% increase. Leaf area is an important trait in leafy vegetables, as increase in leaf area obviously reflects an increase in photosynthetic rate. It was observed that treatment FA10N40P15K40 or P₃₀ proved better for this parameter giving maximum increase of 99.30% in leaf area (Table 3), followed by $FA_{15}N_{40}P_{30}K_{20}$, showing that fly ash @ 15t ha⁻¹ does not have an adverse effect in any case (Table 3).

shows Photosynthetic rate positive correlation with leaf area. Treatment $FA_{15}N_{40}P_{15}K_{40}$ with phosphorus during the first year followed by FA₁₅N₄₀P₃₀K₂₀ gave an increase of 19.56%. But surprisingly $FA_0N_{40}P_{30}K_{20}$ and $FA_0N_{40}P_{30}K_{40}$ were equally good (Table 2). Plant factors which may influence the rate of photosynthesis are related to the structure and organization of plant leaves. chloroplast and

chlorophyll. Various nutrients also influence the activity of enzymes and the level of various metabolites.

The photosynthetic rate during the second year with potassium $FA_{15}N_{40}K_{20}P_{15}$ proved best in increasing this parameter by showing a percentage increase of 33.57%, followed by $FA_0N_{40}P_{15}K_{40}$ with an increase of 20.34%, proving the nutritional role of fly ash in decreasing NPK levels (Table 3).

Treatments	Plant (c	length m)	Plant weig	fresh ht (g)	Plan weig	t dry ht (g)	Leaf n	umber	Leaf ar	rea (cm²)	Photosynthetic rate (µmol/m²/sec)
	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS	80 DAS	50 DAS
	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
FA15 K40	26.30	29.60	6.20	24.02	1.270	6.326	6.33	9.67	99.3	146.00	7.17
FA15 K20	22.03	26.03	7.25	24.65	0.928	6.033	8.67	14.33	72.7	107.67	7.96
FA0 K40	24.97	26.97	3.43	24.96	0.385	6.807	11.6	10.67	42.93	103.67	6.84
FA0 K20	23.50	23.63	4.03	19.73	0.605	4.328	8.00	8.00	43.61	81.00	5.96
LSD at 5%	1.660	3.993	1.900	1.607	NS	1.262	NS	1.918	3.475	2.396	0.667

Subscript values denote the levels of FA in t ha⁻¹ and K in kg ha⁻¹. The dose of N and P were applied @ 30 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ uniformly. NS- non-significant.

Response of growth parameters in methi While growing methi, another leafy leguminous vegetable, leaf number and fresh weight showed good response to treatment $FA_{10}N_{20}P_{15}K_{40}$ proving the utility of fly ash. At 50 DAS, leaf number increased up to 82.29% followed by $FA_{10}N_{20}P_0K_{40}$ giving an increase of 34.38% (Table 4). The result shows that treatment with $FA_{10}N_{20}P_{15}K_{40}$ results in significant increase in leaf number. Plant fresh weight was also optimally enhanced by this treatment, proving the importance of phosphorus and fly ash. Fresh weight at 35 DAS with $FA_{10}N_{20}P_{15}K_{20}$ gave 258.9% increase and at 50 DAS, $FA_{10}N_{20}P_0K_{20}$ gave 131.05% increase followed by $FA_{10}N_{20}P_{15}K_{20}$ which gave 66.78% increase. Also, this treatment proved good for leaf area at 50 and 65 DAS (Table 4).

Regarding nodule production, methi fixes nitrogen symbiotically; therefore, the number of nodules is an important parameter for this crop. At both stages, FA_{10} and P_{15} or P_{30} with uniform N and K showed better results. It appears that P @ 15 kg ha^{-1} was deficient as the vegetable growth at later stage i.e. 50 DAS P₃₀ gave better result. It may be due to the requirement of phosphorus for root development as the plant grows. Again, it can be inferred that FA @ 10t ha⁻¹ proved beneficial and effective, as it did not show harmful effects in methi when given with P @ 15 kg ha^{-1} (Fig. 1).

During the second year, methi performed well with potassium and also with fly ash combination i.e $FA_{10}N_{20}K_{20}P_{15}$. Plant fresh weight at 50 DAS was increased by 41.50% with $FA_{10}N_{20}K_0P_{15}$ followed by treatment $FA_{20}N_{20}K_{20}P_{15}$ with an increase of 9.92%, proving that the application of fly ash @ 10 t ha⁻¹ and potassium @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ or 0 kg ha⁻¹ can be equally effective. Thus, $N_{20}K_0P_{15}FA_{10}$ proved to be a suitable dose, showing that methi can be grown even without potassium, when fly ash is applied showing its nutritional value (Table 5). The application of fly ash was found to improve the growth of methi, because fly ash + soil medium reportedly increased the available water content of soil mixture (Gupta et al., 2002) because fly ash alone is not effective in retaining water content (Eary et al., 1990) and macro and micronutrients present in soil (Basu et al., 2009). An increase in root and shoot length, fresh weight, leaf area and number of nodules per plant with low level of fly ash incorporation was reported by Pandey et al. (2009).

The number of nodules in methi during the second year study with potassium and fly ash combination, was adversely affected by fly ash or even with K where K_{40} proved deleterious (Fig. 1). On the contrary, the number of nodules in methi improved with the application of phosphorus and nitrogen during the first and second years supplemented by fly ash @ 10 t ha⁻¹. Phosphorus being an important element expands root surface area through increase root growth and root hair development (Lynch and Brown, 1988; Gilroy and Jones, 2000). Similarly, the number of nodules gave response to available nitrogen for root nodule development in plant in the absence of K. The reason is due to NH_4^+ -N and K^+

competing for the same carrier. In addition, legume species vary markedly in the number of root hair. The proportion of infected root hairs that gives rise to nodules can also vary. Root nodule as a plant organ, requires reduced carbon and nitrogen compounds for initiation, growth and maintenance. The complex flows of carbon and nitrogen compounds necessary to integrate root nodules into the intact plant has been described in several legumes. Undoubtedly, it is through the allocation of photosynthate and possible hormonal signals, that the plant controls N₂-fixation and maintains a balance between С and Ν metabolism, as environmental conditions change.

Fly ash has been used to ameliorate soil and may improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the degraded soils and is a source of radially available plant micro and macro nutrients. The practical value of fly ash in agriculture as an eco-friendly and economic fertilizer can be established after repeated field experiments for each type of soil to confirm its quality and safety.



Fig. 1. Effect of flyash with phosphorus and flyash with potassium on nodule number of methi (*Trigonellafoenumgraecum* L.) at three growth stages

35 35 AoPo 13.67 AoPo 13.67 AoPo 13.67 AoPo 13.67 AoPo 15.33 AoPo 15.33 AoPo 15.33 AoPo 15.33 AoPo 15.33 AoPo 16.33 AoPo 16.33 AoPo 17.33	50 DAS 1900 3633 3600 3133 3600 3133 2606 anote the	65 DAS 3867 2433 4813 4813 4813 4813 4813 4800 3400 2039 Ievels of FA	35 DAS 073 123 077 170 170 230 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 210 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 26	50 DAS 533 533 442 800 1233 767 1146 2182 md P in J	65 DAS 939 600 841 1143 1143 1284 1143 1284 2149 72 ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	35 DAS 0076 0320 0320 0333 0313 0313 0313 0313 0313	50 DAS 0613 0613 0473 1.423 1.419 1.	65 DAS 167 083 083 159 191 191 191 191 191 191 0652 X was app	35 DA 160 160 276 Lind 276 200 100 276 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 1	S DA S DA S 33 S 34 S 35 S 36 S 37 S 37 S 37	0 KS 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	niformly. N	S DAS S DAS 0 1150 0 1150 0 1150 0 1150 0 1150 1150	65 DAS 197.0 202.0 202.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 241.0 203.3 203.3 203.3 203.3 203.0 200.0 203.0
APP DAS APP 13.67 AoPb 13.67 AoPb 13.67 AoPb 13.67 AoPb 17.33 AoPb 17.33 AoPb 17.33 AoPb 17.33 AoPb 17.33 AoPb 17.33 AuPb 17.33	DAS 1900 3633 3633 3633 3600 33300 33300 33300 33300 33300 33133 3400 3133 3500 3133 3500 3133 3500 3133 3500 3133 3500 3500	DAS 38.67 38.67 2433 4813 4813 4813 4800 3400 3400 2039 Ievels of FA	DAS 073 123 077 170 230 260 260 0160 1 in t ha ⁻¹ a	DAS 533 442 800 1233 767 1146 2182 2182 1146 1146 1146 1146 1146 1146 1146	DAS 939 600 841 1284 1143 1567 2149 2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	DAS 0076 0320 0160 0333 0313 0313 0313 0313 0313 031	DAS 0613 0473 0473 1423 1423 1419 1866 1866 1866 1866 1866 1000 vario	DAS 167 083 083 153 191 1713 0652 0652 0652 0652 X was app	DA 900 1600 1350 2550 2550 1756 160 20 160 20 1756 160 20 1756 160 20 1756 160 20 1756 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 16	S DA 0 32,0 0 32,0 0 33,0 0 33,0 0 34,0 <t< th=""><th>K DA 00 00 20 00 23 14 00 21 44 kg ha⁻¹ u 21 ethi</th><th>niformly. N</th><th>S DAS 00 1150 00 1150 00 1120 00 1120 01 1150 S-non-sig</th><th>DAS 1970 2020 2410 2410 2410 274 874 874 874</th></t<>	K DA 00 00 20 00 23 14 00 21 44 kg ha ⁻¹ u 21 ethi	niformly. N	S DAS 00 1150 00 1150 00 1120 00 1120 01 1150 S-non-sig	DAS 1970 2020 2410 2410 2410 274 874 874 874
λ Pb 13.67 λ P L 13.67 λ P L 15.33 λ P Po 15.33 λ P Po 15.33 λ P Po 17.33 Au Po 19.00 SD (Ø 5% NS) NS ub script values de 18.33	1900 2233 3633 3600 3133 3500 3300 3300 3300 3300 anote the	3867 2433 4833 4813 4600 3400 3400 1evels of FA T	073 123 077 077 230 262 0162 1 in t ha ⁻¹ a	533 442 800 1233 76 1146 2182 md P in I fect of fl	939 600 841 1284 1284 1567 2149 2149 xg ha ⁻¹ . Th	0006 0320 0169 0169 0333 0313 0313 0313 0313 0313 0313 031	0.613 0.473 1.423 1.419 1.419 1.846 F N and F	167 083 083 153 191 1713 0652 X was app	900 1600 2500 2500 2750 1737 1737 1737 1737 1737 1737 1737 173	0 32(0 27)(3 3 33: 3 33: 3 33: 5 33: 5 33: 5 33: 3 33: 5 4 5 35: 5 35: 5 35: 5 4 5 35: 5 4 5 35: 5 4 5 35: 5 4 5 35: 5 4 5 35: 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	00 28 00 20 20 00 21 14 kg ha ⁻¹ u cthi	0 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 - 100 1150 1150 1150 1150 1150 1150 115	1970 2020 1707 241.0 3083 3083 3083 1730 1730 1730
۵٫۳۹٬ ال 1533 ۵٫۳۹٬ ال 1533 ۵٬۵۴۰٬ ال 1733 ۵٬۵۴۰٬ ال 1733 ۵٬۵۴۰٬ ال 1733 ۵٬۵۴۰٬ ۱۲33 ۵٬۵۴۰٬ ۱۳33 ubscript values de	2233 3633 3600 3133 3300 33200 2626 enote the	2433 4813 4813 4800 3400 3400 1evels of FA	123 077 170 230 230 0162 1 in t ha ⁻¹ a	442 800 767 11.46 11.46 md P in 1 fect of fl	600 841 1284 1143 1567 2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th cg ha ⁻¹ . Th	0320 0.069 0.160 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.330 0.060 0.053 0.060 0.053 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570000000000	0473 1,423 1,423 1,419 1,510 1	0.83 153 2.18 1.713 0.652 X was app	133 733 250 250 173 lied @ 20	0 27(3 33; 39 43(0 58; 39 43(38) 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	00 20 33 14 83 41 83 41 83 41 84 84 84 84 10 14 84 84 10 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	niformly. N	20 1100 103 103 1120 1150 1150 1150 1150	2020 1707 2410 2410 3083 3083 3083 3083 3083 3083 3083 30
%P30 1633 %P30 1733 %P20 1733 %MP30 1733 %MP30 1733 %MP30 1733 %MP30 1733 %MP30 1900 %MP30 1900 %MP30 1900 %MP30 1833 %MP30 500 %MP30 NS whose of the state of the s	3633 3600 3133 3300 33200 33200 anote the	4833 4813 4600 3400 1evels of FA T	0.77 1.70 2.30 <u>2.62</u> <u>1 in t ha⁻¹ a</u> able 5. Ef	800 1233 767 1146 2182 md P in 1 fect of fl	841 1284 1143 1567 2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th cg ha ⁻¹ . Th	0.069 0.160 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.333 0.345 0.060 0.160 0.060 0.160 0.076 0.0760 0.333 0.333 0.343 0.076 0.0760 0.333 0.333 0.343 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0760 0.0770 0.07000 0.0700000000	1863 1423 1419 1419 1846 0240 0240 f N and F	153 218 191 1713 0652 X was app	733 2500 2500 2500 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 1	33 333 39 43(30 58 30 58 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3	33 14 00 25 14 10 20 25 11 14 10 14 10 11 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 15 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	niformly. N	2 103 1120 1120 1120 1150 1150 1150	1707 2410 3083 3083 1730 874 874 874
Au Po Au Po Au Po Au Po SD @ 5% NS ubscript values de	3600 3133 3300 3300 2626 anote the	4813 4600 3400 2039 levels of FA T	1.70 2.30 2.62 0.162 1 in t ha ⁻¹ a able 5. Ef	1233 7.67 11.46 2.182 md P in I fect of fl	1284 1143 1567 2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th	0160 0333 0313 0313 0313 ns no ns potassiun	1423 1419 1.846 0.240 f N and F	2.18 1.91 1.713 0.652 Č was app	250 250 276 177 lied @ 20 lied @ 20	33 43 0 58 0 58 33 38 33 38 33 38 33 38 33 38 33 38 33 38 34 40 or and 40 or and 40 ers in m(or and 40	00 25 33 41, 00 444 kg ha ⁻¹ u kg ha ⁻¹ u	3 90 00 81 18 N niformly. N	2 1120 1150 2 1150 2 1150 2 1150	2410 3083 1730 8.74 8.74
AnPro 1900 AnPro 1833 SD@3% NS ubscript values de	3133 3300 2626 rnote the	4600 34.00 2039 levels of FA T	230 262 1 in t ha ⁻¹ a able 5. Ef	767 11.46 2.182 md P in J	1143 15.67 2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	0333 0313 ne dose of potassium	1419 1846 0240 f N and F	191 1713 (652 X was app ous growth	200 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 1	0 58. 57 38.0 33 2.66) and 40 ers in m	33 41 00 444 00 21 kg ha ⁻¹ u kg ha ⁻¹ u	7 82 00 81. 18 N niformly. N	S-non-sig	3083 1730 874 nificant
AnPr 1833 SD@ 5% NS ubscript values de	33.00 2.626 2.626	34.00 2039 levels of FA T	262 0162 v in t ha ⁻¹ a able 5. Ef	11.46 2.182 md P in 14 Fect of fl	15.67 2.149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	0313 NS Le dose of potassium	1846 0240 F N and F and r	1713 0.652 Č was appi	<u>175</u> lied @ 20	7 38(3 26) and 40 ers in m(00 440 20 21 kg ha ⁻¹ u ethi	00 81. 18 N niformty. N	S-non-sig	1730 874 nificant
D@3% NS ubscript values de	2626 mote the	2099 levels of FA T	0100 v in t ha ⁻¹ a able 5. Ef	2182 md P in I	2149 cg ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	NS te dose of potassium	EN and F	<u>(652</u> <u>(</u> was appl ous growth	lied @ 20	<u>13 26</u> 1 and 40 ers in me	<u>20 21</u> kg ha ⁻¹ u ethi	18 N niformly. N	S-non-sig	874 nificant
ubscript values de	mote the	levels of FA T	v in t ha ⁻¹ a able 5. Ef	nd P in k fect of fl	cg ha ⁻¹ . Th y ash and	ie dose of potassium	N and F	K was appl	lied @ 20	and 40 ers in me	kg ha ⁻¹ u ethi	niformly. N	S- non-sig	nificant
Treatments P	lant lengt	th (cm)	Plant 1	fresh weig	ght (g)	Plant	dry weig	ht (g)	Le	eaf numb	er	T	eaf area (c	n-)
35	50	65	35	50	65	35	50	65	35	50	65	35	50	65
DA	S DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
A ₀ K ₀ 19.3	7 19.47	7 29.00	1.52	5.57	10.26	0.125	0.385	0.91	10.03	25.00	29.40	82.17	120.23	200.20
A ₀ K ₂₀ 19.9	7 22.33	3 25.00	2.94	5.81	11.12	0.285	0.596	0.98	9.93	18.33	29.90	151.69	128.13	212.33
A ₀ K ₄₀ 19.0	3 22.20	31.33	1.78	4.56	10.62	0.108	0.320	0.75	9.43	12.33	28.47	74.51	117.15	175.00
A ₁₀ K ₀ 25.1	7 22.27	7 41.00	2.27	7.88	10.99	0.119	0.352	1.52	9.70	39.33	35.20	106.23	177.70	311.00
A10 K20 19.5	7 22.33	36.00	2.12	6.12	9.89	0.118	0.342	0.98	9.93	37.33	29.50	86.77	139.00	247.73
A10 K40 18.8	7 20.60	56.33	1.27	4.75	8.41	0.100	0.225	0.76	11.40	23.33	30.27	51.33	115.00	174.20
.SD at 5 % 2.44	6 NS	2.52	0.938	1.83	1.32	0.103	NS	NS	N.S	2.73	3.73	4.01	2.56	2.78

Table 4. Effect of fly ash and phosphorus on various growth parameters in methi.

302

Inam, A. and Sahay, S.

Application of fly ash along with chemical fertilizers and organic materials is an integrated way of saving fertilizer as well as increasing consumption, fertilizer use efficiency (FUE) (Mittra et al., 2003). They found that the integrated use of fly ash, as well as organic and inorganic fertilizers saved N, P and K fertilizers to the range of 45.8, 33.5 and 61.6%, respectively and gave higher FUE than normal chemical fertilizers alone or combined use of organic and chemical fertilizers. Similarly, in the present study repeated experiments, after it was concluded that NPK can be saved and there can be useful disposal of fly ash. Beneficial use of fly ash at the rate of 15 t ha⁻¹ in case of spinach (S. oleracea L.) and 10 t ha⁻¹ in case of methi (T. foenumgraecum L.) with saving of 15 kg P and 20 kg K ha observed in present study.

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that the incorporation of fly ash in soil at the rate of 10 and 15 t ha⁻¹ after N, P and K fertilization is not harmful to spinach and methi, if grown in Aligarh soil. Further, fly ash may facilitate convenient disposal in agricultural land. Moreover, in the present study fly ash reduced dependence on P and K fertilizers, therefore it may also be concluded that nutrients present in fly ash may alleviate the need to purchase expensive inorganic fertilizers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. Akhtar Inamis is thankful to the Department of Botany, Women's College, A.M.U for providing the facilities required for the experiment. The corresponding author (Sahay.S.) is grateful to UGC funding agency for providing Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship, with letter number F.16 (1274)/ SC (SA-III).

REFERENCES

Adriano, D.C., Page, A.L., Elseewi, A.A., Chang, A.C. and Straughan, I. (1980). Utilization and

disposal of flyash and other coal residues in terrestrial ecosystems: a review. J. Environ. Qual., 9: 333-334.

Arivozhagen, K., Ravichandran, M., Dube, S.K., Mathur, V.K., Khandakar, R.K., Yagnanarayana, K., Pasha, M.M.K., Sinha, A.K., Sarangi, B.D., Tripathi, V.K.M., Gupta, S.K., Singh, R., Ali, M., Thakur, A.S. and Narayan, R. (2011). Effect of coal fly ash on agriculturalcrops: Showcase Project on use of flyash in agriculture inand around thermal power station areas of national thermal powercorporation Ltd., India. World of Coal Ash (WOCA) Conferences May 9-12, Denver, CO, USA.

Basu, M., Pande, M., Bhadoria, P.B.S. and Mahapatra S.C. (2009). Potential fly-ash utilization in agriculture: a global review. J. Prog. Natu. Sci., 19:1173–1186.

Bilski, J., McLean, K., McLean, E., Soumaila, F. and Lander, M. (2011a). Revegetation of coal ash by selected cereal crops and trace elements accumulation by plant seedlings. Int. J. Environ. Sci., 1: 1033-1046.

Bilski, J., McLean, K., McLean, E., Soumaila, F. and Lander, M. (2011b). Environmental health aspects of coal ash phytoremediationby selected crops. Int. J. Environ. Sci., 1: 2028-2036.

Eary, L.E., Rai, D., Mattigod, S.V. and Ainsworth, C.C. (1990). Geochemical factors controlling the mobilization of inorganic constituents from fossil fuel combustion residues: Review of the minor elements. J.Environ. Qual., 19:202-214.

Elseewi A.A., Bingham, F.T. and Page, A.L. (1978a). Availability of sulphur in flyash to plants. J. Environ. Qual., 7: 69-73.

El-Mogazi, D., Lisk, D.J. and Weinstein, L.H. (1988). A review of physical and chemical, and biological properties of fly ash and effects on agricultural ecosystems. Sci. Tot. Environ., 74:1–37.

Fail, J.L. and Wochok, Z.S. (1977). Soyabean growth on flyash amended stip mine spoils. Plant.Soil., 48: 473-484.

Gangloff, W.J., Ghodrati, M., Sims, J.T. and Vasilas, B.L. (2000). Impact of fly ash amendment and incorporation method on hydraulic properties of a sandy soil. Water Air Soil Pollut., 119: 231-245.

Gupta, D. K., Rai, U. N., Tripathi, R. D. and Inouhe, M. (2002). Impacts of flyash on soil and plant responses. J. Plant. Res., 115:401-409.

Gautam, S., Singh, A., Singh, J. and Shikha. (2012). Effect of flyashamended soil on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica Juncea*). Adv. Biores., 3: 39-45.

Gilroy, S. and Jones, D.L. (2000). Through form to function: root hair development and nutrient uptake. Trends. Plant. Sci., 5:56-60.

Hill, M.J. and Lamp, C.A. (1980). Use of pulverized fuel ash from Victorian brown coal as a source of nutrients for a pasture species. Aust. J. Exp. Agric.Ani.Husb., 20:377-384.

Jala, S. and Goyal, D. (2006). Fly ash as a soil ameliorant for improving crop production-a review. Bioresour. Technol., 97: 1136–1147.

Katiyar, D., Singh, A., Malaviya, P., Pant, D., Singh, P. and Abraham, G. (2012). Impact of flyash amended soil on growth and yield of cropplants. Int. J. Environ. Waste Manage., 10: 150-162.

Lynch, J.P. and Brown, K.M. (1988). Regulation of root architecture by phosphorus availability. American Society of Plant Physiology, Rochville, MD.

Mahale, N.K., Patil, S.D., Sarode, D.B. and Attarde, S.B. (2012). Effect of flyash as an admixture in agriculture and the study of heavy metal accumulation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) and urad bean (*Vigna mungo* L.). Pol. J. Environ. Stud., 21: 1713-1719.

Milliner, A.M. and Street, J.J. (1982). Effect of flyash and lime on growth and composition of corn (*Zea mays* L.) on acid sandy soils. Proceeding of Soil, Crop Science Society. Florida. 41:217-220.

Mittra, B.N, Karmakar, S, Swain, D.K. and Ghosh, B.C. (2005). Fly ash- apotential source of soil amendment and a component of integrated plant nutrient supply system. Fuel., 84: 14471451.

Mittra, B.N., Kanakar, S., Swain D.K. and Ghosh B.C. (2003). Flyash-a potential source of soil amendment and a component of integrated plant nutrient supply system. International Ash Utilization Symposium. Centre for Applied Energy Research. Kentuky University. Paper No. 28.

Muduli, S.D., Chaturvedi, N., Mohapatra, P., Dhal, N.K. and Nayak, B.D. (2014). Growth and physiological activities of selected leguminouscrops grown in carbonated flyash amended soil. Greener J.Agri. Sci., 4: 83-90. Page, A.L., Elseewi, A.A. and Straughan, I.R. (1979). Physical and chemical properties of flyash from coal-fired power plants with special reference to environmental impact. Res. Rev., 71:83-120.

Pandey, V.C., Abhilash, P.C., Upadhyay, R.N., and Tewari, D.D. (2009). Application of fly ash on the growth performance and translocation of toxic heavy metals within *Cajanus cajan* L: Implication for safe utilization of fly ash for agricultural production. J. Haz. Mater., 166: 255–259.

Panigrahi, T., Das, K.K., Das, M., Panda, S.K. and Panda, R.B.(2014). Fly ash and treated waste water effect on improving soil properties and rice productivity: A way for sustainable agriculture practice. Discov. Natu., 7(17): 30-38.

Sahay, S., Inam, A. and Iqbal S. (2014). Enhancement of biomass and yield with Ni uptake and tolerance of *Brassica juncea* (cv. Pusa Bold) by applying inorganic fertilizers in soil amended with flyash and wastewater. Int. J. Resour. Environ., 4: 25-33.

Singh, L.P. and Siddiqui, Z.A. (2003).Effects of fly ash and *Helminthosporiumoryzae* on growth and yield of three cultivars of rice. Bioresour. Technol., 86: 73-78.

Singh, S., Gond, D.P., Pal, A., Tewary, B.K. and Sinha, A. (2011). Performance of several crops grown in flyash amended soil. World of Coal Ash (WOCA) Conferences May 9-12 in Denver, CO, USA.

Tak, H.I. A (2010). Ph.D thesis on Physiomorphological response of *Ciceraeritinum* L. to urban wastewater and inorganic fertilizers, Aligarh Muslim University.

Wochok, Z.S., Fail, J.L. and Homec, M. (1976). Analysis of plant growth in the flyash amended soil. Proceeding Fourth International Fly Ash Symposium, St. Louis, MO, pp.258–261.

Yeledhalli, N.A., Prakash, S.S., Ravi, M.V. and Narayana Rao, K. (2008). Long-Term Effect of Fly Ash on Crop Yield and Soil Properties. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 21(4): 507-512.