

Estimation of the Survival Function for Negatively Dependent Random Variables

H. Doosti^{1,*} and H. Zarei²

¹ Department of Statistics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University,
P.O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Zabol University, Zabol, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of pair wise negative quadrant dependent random variables with survival function $\bar{F}(x) = P[X > x]$. The empirical survival function $\bar{F}_n(x)$ based on X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is proposed as an estimator for $\bar{F}(x)$. Strong consistency and point wise as well as uniform of $\bar{F}_n(x)$ are discussed.

Keywords: Survival function; Pair wise negative quadrant dependent random variables; Uniform strong consistency

1. Introduction

Suppose that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of random variables with distribution function $F(x)$, or equivalently, survival function $\bar{F}(x) = P[X > x]$. An estimator of $\bar{F}(x)$ been studied by Bagai and Prakasa Rao [1] for the case where $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is for associated random variables.

Consider the estimator $\bar{F}_n(x)$ defined by

$$\bar{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j(x) \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$Y_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , X_i > x, \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1. The random variables X_1, \dots, X_n are said to be negatively dependent (ND) if we have

$$P\left[\bigcap_{j=1}^n (X_j \leq x_j)\right] \leq \prod_{j=1}^n P(X_j \leq x_j),$$

and

$$P\left[\bigcap_{j=1}^n (X_j > x_j)\right] \leq \prod_{j=1}^n P(X_j > x_j),$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. An infinite sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be ND if every finite subset X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n} is ND.

We propose $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of negatively dependent random variables and $\bar{F}_n(x)$ as an estimator for $\bar{F}(x)$ and study it. In this paper we discuss the strong consistency, point wise and uniform of $\bar{F}_n(x)$. These results are useful in the study of kernel-type

*E-mail: doosti@math.um.ac.ir

density and failure rate estimators of the unknown density and failure rate function. In fact we extend Bagai and Prakasa Rao [1] to negatively dependent case.

The following lemma was proved by Bozorgnia, Patterson and Taylor [2]. We use it for obtaining the main result in the next section.

Lemma 1.1. ([1]) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of ND random variables and $\{f_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of Borel functions all of which are monotone increasing (or all are monotone decreasing). Then $\{f_n(X_n), n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of ND random variables.

Throughout the paper C will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same from one step to another.

2. The Empirical Survival Function

First, we present a bound for the moment of order p of the sum of N random variables which depends on the second moment and mixing coefficients. This bound constitutes the basis of the main results of this paper—Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. This is a Rosenthal-type inequality. The following lemma was proved by Rivaz [4] theorem 7.2.2. page 32.

Lemma 2.1. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be a sequence of ND identically random variables such that $E(\xi_i) = 0$, $\|\xi_i\|_\infty < M$. Then there exist $C(p)$ such that

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right)^p \leq C(p) \left\{ M^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(\xi_i^2) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(\xi_i^2)\right)^{p/2} \right\}, \quad p > 2$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of ND random variables with bounded continuous density for X_1 . Then for some $r > 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_x P[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| > \varepsilon] \leq C \varepsilon^{-2r} n^{-r}$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Using Markov inequality, we get that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_x P[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| > \varepsilon] = \\ & \sup_x P[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)|^{2r} > \varepsilon^{2r}] \quad (2.1) \\ & \leq \sup_x \{ (n\varepsilon)^{-2r} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - EY_i) \right|^{2r} \} \end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof, it is sufficient to estimate $E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - EY_i) \right|^{2r}$. Denote $\xi_i = Y_i - EY_i$. Note that $\|\xi_i\|_\infty < 2$ and $E\xi_i = 0$. In view of ND property of the sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and the monotonicity of the function Y_i , Lemma 1.1 follows that the sequence $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is also sequence of ND random variables. Hence applying the Lemma 2.1 we have

$$E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - EY_i) \right|^{2r} \leq C n^{-r}. \quad (2.2)$$

By substituting (2.2) in (2.1), we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 2.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 for every x ,

$$\bar{F}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{F}(x) \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For $r > 1$ observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| > \varepsilon] \leq C \varepsilon^{-2r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-r} < \infty$$

The result then follows by using the Borel-Contelli Lemma. Next we obtain a version of Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem valid for ND random variables. The proof follows along the lines of analogous result for associated of random variables (Bagai and Prakasa Rao [1]).

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of ND random variables satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Then for any compact subset $J \subset R$,

$$\sup[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| : x \in J] \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let K_1 and K_2 be chosen such that $J \subset [K_1, K_2]$ into b_n sub-intervals of length $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ where $\{\delta_n\}$ is chosen such that

$$\sum_n \delta_n^{-1} n^{-r} < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

such a choice of $\{\delta_n\}$ is possible. For instance, choose $\delta_n = n^{-\theta}$ where $0 < \theta < r - 1$. Note that $b_n \leq C \delta_n^{-1}$.

Let $I_{nj} = (x_{n,j}, x_{n,j+1})$, $j = 1, \dots, b_n = N$, where

$$K_1 = x_{n,1} < x_{n,2} < \dots < x_{n,N+1} = K_2,$$

with

$$x_{n,j+1} - x_{n,j} \leq \delta_n \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Then for $x \in I_{nj}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we have

$$\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) \leq \bar{F}(x) \leq \bar{F}(x_{n,j}),$$

and

$$\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j+1}) \leq \bar{F}_n(x) \leq \bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & [\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j+1})] + [\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x)] \\ & \leq \bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x) \\ & \leq [\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j})] + [\bar{F}(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| : x \in J] \\ & \leq \sup[|\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| : K_1 \leq x \leq K_2] \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j})| \\ & + \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j+1})| \tag{2.4} \\ & + \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{x \in I_{nj}} |\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x)| \\ & + \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{x \in I_{nj}} |\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the mean value theorem for $x_{n,j} < u^* < x_{n,j+1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x) &= F(x) - F(x_{n,j}) \\ &= (x - x_{n,j})f(u^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since f , the density of X_1 is bounded by the

hypothesis, it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\bar{F}(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x)| \leq C \delta_n, \quad |\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x)| \leq C \delta_n,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $x \in I_{nj}$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $n = n(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$2C \delta_n \leq \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we get, for $n \leq n(\varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P[\sup_{x \in J} |\bar{F}_n(x) - \bar{F}(x)| > \varepsilon] \\ & \leq P[\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j})| > \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon] \\ & + P[\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j+1})| > \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^N P[|\bar{F}_n(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j})| > \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon] \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N P[|\bar{F}(x_{n,j+1}) - \bar{F}(x_{n,j})| > \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon] \\ & \leq CN \varepsilon^{-2r} n^{-r} \\ & = C \varepsilon^{-2r} b_n n^{-r} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.1}) \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{-2r} \delta_n^{-1} n^{-r} \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by using (2.5) and Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

References

1. Bagai I. and Prakasa Rao B.L.S. Estimation of the survival function for stationary associated processes. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **12**: 385-391 (1991).
2. Bozorgnia A., Patterson R.F., and Taylor R. Limit theorems for dependent random variables. *World Congress Nonlinear Analysts*, **92**: 1639-1650 (1996).
3. Lehman E.L. Some concepts of dependence. *Annals of Mathematics and Statistics*, **37**: 1137-1153 (1966).
4. Rivaz F. Negative association of random variables and complete of weighted sum of NA. M.Sc. *Dissertation* (2002).