

On Two-parameter Dynamical Systems and Applications

M. Janfada* and A. Niknam

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad
P.O. Box 1159-91775, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

In this note some useful properties of strongly continuous two-parameter semigroups of operators are studied, an exponential formula for two-parameter semigroups of operators on Banach spaces is obtained and some applied examples of two-parameter dynamical systems are discussed.

Keywords: Two-parameter semigroup; Generator

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and $B(X)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on X . Recall that a homomorphism $t \rightarrow u(t)$ from $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ into $B(X)$ is called one-parameter semigroup if $u(0) = I$. A semigroup $\{u(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called strongly continuous (or C_0 -semigroup) if $t \rightarrow u(t)x$ is continuous for every x in X and is called uniformly continuous if $u \rightarrow u(t)$ is norm continuous. The infinitesimal generator H of $\{u(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined by:

$$H(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(t)x - x}{t}, \quad x \in D(H) \quad (1)$$

where $D(H) = \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(t)x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \right\}$.

By a two-parameter dynamical system on X we mean a function $(s, t) \mapsto W(s, t)x$ from $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ into $B(X)$ such that:

- i) $W(s + s', t + t') = W(s, t)W(s', t')$
- ii) $W(0, 0) = I$.

It is called strongly continuous if $(s, t) \rightarrow W(s, t)x$ is continuous for all x in X and is called uniformly continuous if $(s, t) \rightarrow W(s, t)$ is norm continuous.

To any two-parameter dynamical system $W(s, t)$ we associate two one-parameter semigroups $u(s) = W(s, 0)$ and $v(t) = W(0, t)$, the semigroup property of W implies that $W(s, t) = u(s)v(t)$. One can see that $W(s, t)$ is strongly (resp. uniformly) continuous if and only if $u(s)$ and $v(t)$ are strongly (resp. uniformly) continuous.

The infinitesimal generator of $u(s)$ and $v(t)$ are denoted by H_1 and H_2 , respectively. We will think of the pair (H_1, H_2) as the infinitesimal generator of $W(s, t)$.

The theory of n -parameters semigroups of operators which is an extension of one-parameter case developed by E. Hille in 1944. In 1946 N. Dunford and I.S. Segal [3] applied the concept to prove the theorem of Weierstrass. In [5] Hille and Phillips studied X -parameter semi-groups of operators which is an extension of n -parameter semi-groups. O.A. Ivanova [6]

obtained some other results in n-parameters semi-groups in 1966. In section (2) we state and prove some useful lemmas which provide some necessary and sufficient conditions for the product of two one-parameter dynamical systems to be a two-parameter one, as a consequence of these lemmas we extend the Hille-Yosida theorem for two-parameter case. Also an exponential formula for strongly continuous dynamical systems is proved. In section (3) we mention some classes of two-parameter dynamical systems which can be used for some counter examples. These examples provide some applications of this subject.

In [7] we have applied the theory of n-parameters semigroups of operators for generalizing the abstract Cauchy problem to n-parameter case. One can see that the semigroups of operators arise naturally in several areas of applied mathematics including prediction theory of random fields [8,12]. Such a semigroup of operators can be used to describe the time evolution of a physical system in quantum field theory, statistical mechanics and partial differential equations [2,9-11].

2. Main Results

The Hille-Yosida theorem in one-parameter case has a principal role in semigroup theory. We need the following lemmas to prove similar Hille-Yosida theorem for two-parameter case. In these lemmas we study the elementary properties of two-parameter dynamical systems and their relations with one-parameter semigroups.

Lemma 2.1. (see [10].I.5.5). Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $T(t)$. If A_λ is the Yosida-approximation of A , i.e. $A_\lambda = \lambda A R(\lambda, A)$ then $T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tA_\lambda)$, where $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$.

The following lemma proves a useful property of two-parameter dynamical systems.

Lemma 2.2. Let $W(s, t)$ be a C_0 -two-parameter semigroups on Banach space X with the infinitesimal generators (H_1, H_2) , then $D(H_i) \cap D(H_i H_j) \subseteq D(H_j H_i)$, $(i, j = 1, 2)$, and for, $x \in D(H_i) \cap D(H_i H_j)$

$$H_i H_j(x) = H_j H_i(x)$$

Proof. Let $u(s) = W(s, 0)$ and $v(t) = W(0, t)$. The

semigroup property of $W(s, t)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u(s)v(t) &= W(s, t) = W(0 + s, t + 0) \\ &= W(0, t)W(s, 0) = v(t)u(s) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

hence $u(s)v(t) = v(t)u(s)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$. Now let x be in $D(H_1) \cap D(H_1 H_2)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 H_2(x) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(s)H_2(x) - H_2(x)}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(u(s) \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(t)x - x}{t} \right) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(t)x - x}{t} \right) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{st} (u(s)v(t)x - u(s)x - v(t)x + x) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{st} (v(t)u(s)x - v(t)x - u(s)x + x), \end{aligned}$$

since $u(s)v(t) = v(t)u(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(v(t) \left(\frac{u(s)x - x}{s} \right) - \frac{u(s)x - x}{s} \right) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} H_2 \left(\frac{u(s)x - x}{s} \right) = H_2 H_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since $x \in D(H_1)$ and H_2 is a closed operator by the Hille-Yosida theorem. Thus $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$. □

For C_0 -two-parameter semigroup $W(s, t) = u(s)v(t)$, we know that ([10].I.2.2) there exist $\omega, \omega' > 0$ and $M_1, M_2 \geq 1$ s.t. $\|u(s)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega s}$ and $\|v(t)\| \leq M_2 e^{\omega' t}$. Hence if $M = M_1 M_2$, trivially $\|W(s, t)\| \leq M e^{\omega s + \omega' t}$.

The next lemma provides a sufficient and necessary condition for the product of two one-parameter semigroups to be a two-parameter semigroup. Using this lemma we can extend many of well-known results to two-parameter semigroups.

Lemma 2.3. (a) Suppose $\{u(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ and $\{v(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are two C_0 -one-parameter semigroups of operators on Banach space X with the infinitesimal generator H_1 and H_2 respectively, then $W(s, t) = u(s)v(t)$ is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup of operators if and only if there is an $\omega > 0$ such that for each $i = 1, 2$, $[0, \infty) \subseteq \rho(H_i)$ and for each $\lambda, \lambda' \geq \omega$, we have

$$R(\lambda', H_1)R(\lambda, H_2) = R(\lambda, H_2)R(\lambda', H_1).$$

(b) If the one-parameter semi-groups $\{u(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ with the generator H_1 is strongly continuous and $\{v(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with the generator H_2 is uniformly continuous, then $W(s,t) = u(s)v(t)$ is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup of operators if and only if $H_1H_2 = H_2H_1$.

Proof. (a) First suppose W is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup of operators. Since H_1 and H_2 are the infinitesimal generator of $\{u(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ and $\{v(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, respectively, by the Hille-Yosida Theorem ([10].I.5.3), there is an $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \geq \omega$ and $\lambda' > \omega$, $R(\lambda, H_1)$ and $R(\lambda', H_2)$ exist and are bounded operators. Let $\omega = \max\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$. If $\lambda \geq \omega$ from [10].I.5.4

$$R(\lambda, H_1)(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(s) x ds \quad \&$$

$$R(\lambda', H_2)(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda' t} v(t) x ds$$

Also we know

$$\begin{aligned} u(s)v(t) &= W(s, 0)W(0, t) \\ &= W(0, t)W(s, 0) = v(t)u(s) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, H_1)(v(t)x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} u(s)v(t)x ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} v(t)u(s)x ds \\ &= v(t) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} u(s)x ds \\ &= v(t)R(\lambda, H_1)x. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\lambda \geq \omega$, we know $R(\lambda, H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, is bounded so

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, H_1)R(\lambda', H_2)x &= R(\lambda, H_1) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda' t} v(t)x dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda' t} v(t)R(\lambda, H_1)x dt \\ &= R(\lambda', H_2)R(\lambda, H_1)x. \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the necessary part of lemma.

For the converse suppose there is an $\omega > 0$ such that for each $\lambda, \lambda' \geq \omega$, $R(\lambda, H_1)$ and $R(\lambda', H_2)$ exist and commute. So we have $H_\lambda^1 H_{\lambda'}^2 = H_{\lambda'}^2 H_\lambda^1$ where

$H_\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 R(\lambda, H_1) - \lambda I$ and $H_{\lambda'}^2 = \lambda'^2 R(\lambda', H_2) - \lambda' I$ are the Yosida approximations of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Applying Lemma 1.1 we have $u(s)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda^1} x$ and $v(t)x = \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{tH_{\lambda'}^2} x$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(s)v(t)x &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda^1} v(t)x \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda^1} e^{tH_{\lambda'}^2}. \quad (e^{sH_\lambda^1} \text{ is continuous}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{tH_{\lambda'}^2} e^{sH_\lambda^1} \quad (\text{since } H_\lambda^1 H_{\lambda'}^2 = H_{\lambda'}^2 H_\lambda^1) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} v(t)e^{sH_\lambda^1} x \\ &= u(s)v(t) \quad (v(t) \text{ is continuous}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $W(s,t) = u(s)v(t)$ is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup of operators.

(b) If W is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup of operators, then by the previous lemma, the equality $H_1H_2 = H_2H_1$ holds. Conversely, we know H_2 is bounded and $H_1H_2 = H_2H_1$ (note that the equality $H_1H_2 = H_2H_1$ defines only on $D(H_1)$). Let $\|u(s)\| \leq Me^{\omega s}$, for some $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$. Hence by the Hille-Yosida theorem, for $\lambda \geq \omega$, $R(\lambda, H_1)$ exists. If $\lambda, \lambda' \geq \omega$, then

$$(\lambda I - H_1)(\lambda' I - H_2) = (\lambda' I - H_2)(\lambda I - H_1),$$

since $H_1H_2 = H_2H_1$. Also $(\lambda I - H_1)D(H_1) = X$, thus

$$(\lambda' I - H_2)(\lambda I - H_1)D(H_1) = (\lambda' I - H_2)X = X.$$

Now let $y \in X$, so $y = (\lambda' I - H_2)(\lambda I - H_1)x$, for some $x \in D(H_1)$. But from our hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (\lambda' I - H_2)(\lambda I - H_1)x \\ &= (\lambda I - H_1)(\lambda' I - H_2)x \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$R(\lambda', H_2)R(\lambda, H_1)y = x = R(\lambda, H_1)R(\lambda', H_2)y.$$

This proves the equality.

The previous part of this theorem completes the proof of part (b). \square

We are ready to state an extension of Hille-Yosida theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.4. A pair (H_1, H_2) of operators with

domains in X is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup $W(s, t)$ satisfying $\|W(s, t)\| \leq M_0 e^{\omega s + \omega' t}$, for some $M_0 \geq 1$, $\omega, \omega' > 0$ if and only if

(i) H_1 and H_2 are closed and densely defined operators and

$$R(\lambda, H_2)R(\lambda, H_1) = R(\lambda, H_1)R(\lambda, H_2).$$

for each $\lambda \geq \omega, \lambda' \geq \omega'$.

(ii) The resolvent sets $\rho(H_1)$ and $\rho(H_2)$ contain $[\omega, \infty)$ and $[\omega', \infty)$, respectively and there is some $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\|R(\lambda, H_1)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega)^n},$$

$$\|R(\lambda', H_2)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda' - \omega')^n}$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \omega$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda' \geq \omega'$.

Proof. Let (H_1, H_2) be the infinitesimal generator of $W(s, t)$ satisfying $\|W(s, t)\| \leq M_0 e^{\omega s + \omega' t}$, so H_1 is the infinitesimal generator of $u(s)$ satisfying $\|u(s)\| = \|W(s, 0)\| \leq M_0 e^{\omega s}$ and H_2 is the infinitesimal generator of $v(t)$ satisfying $\|v(t)\| = \|W(0, t)\| \leq M_0 e^{\omega' t}$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$R(\lambda, H_2)R(\lambda, H_1) = R(\lambda, H_1)R(\lambda, H_2).$$

Using the Hille-Yosida theorem ([10].I.3.1), we conclude that (i) and (ii) are valid.

Conversely, from conditions (i), (ii) and by the Hille-Yosida theorem there exist C_0 -one-parameter semigroups $u(s)$ and $v(t)$ satisfying $\|u(s)\| \leq M e^{\omega s}$ and $\|v(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega' t}$, with the infinitesimal generators H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Now define $W(s, t) = u(s)v(t)$, then by Lemma 2.3 $W(s, t)$ is a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup and $\|W(s, t)\| \leq M_0 e^{\omega s + \omega' t}$, where $M_0 = M^2$, and this completes the proof. \square

The following theorem establishes an exponential formula for strongly continuous dynamical systems.

Theorem 2.5. Let $W(s, t)$ be a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup with the infinitesimal generator (H_1, H_2)

then

$$W(s, t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{s}{n} H_1)^{-n} (I - \frac{t}{n} H_2)^{-n} (x)$$

and the limit is uniform in (s, t) on any compact subset of R_+^2 .

Proof. Without loss of generality we prove theorem for the compact set $[0, S] \times [0, T]$. From ([10].I.2.2) there exist $M_1, M_2 \geq 1$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ such that $\|u(s)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_1 s}$ and $\|v(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_2 t}$, thus for each $(s, t) \in [0, S] \times [0, T]$, $\|u(s)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_1 S}$ and $\|v(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_2 T}$. By ([10].I.8.3), we know that

$$u(s)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{s}{n} H_1)^{-n} (x), \tag{3}$$

$$v(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n} H_2)^{-n} (x)$$

and the limits are uniform in s and t on $[0, S]$ and $[0, T]$, respectively. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and

$\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1 e^{S\omega_1}}$, then there is a natural number N_1 such that

$$\|v(t)x - (I - \frac{t}{m} H_2)^{-m} (x)\| < \varepsilon' \tag{4}$$

for all $m \geq N_1$ and $t \in [0, T]$. On the other hand, by the Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \frac{s}{n} H_1)^{-n}\| &= \|(\frac{n}{s} R(\frac{s}{n}, H_1))^n\| \\ &\leq (\frac{n}{s})^n \frac{M_1}{(n - \omega_1)^n} = (\frac{\frac{n}{s}}{n - \omega_1})^n M_1 \\ &\leq (\frac{\frac{n}{S}}{\frac{n}{S} - \omega_1})^n M_1, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficient large n and all $0 < s < S$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{\frac{n}{S}}{\frac{n}{S} - \omega_1})^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{S\omega_1}{n - S\omega_1})^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{S\omega_1}{n})^{n + S\omega_1} = e^{S\omega_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\frac{n}{S})^n \leq M'$, for some $M' > 0$, and so

$$\| (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n} \| \leq (\frac{n}{S})^n M_1 \leq M_3,$$

for all $s \in [0, S]$,

where $M_3 = M_1 M'$. Similarly there exists $M_4 > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\| (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n} \| \leq M_4.$$

Now from (3), for $\varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_3}$

$$\exists N_2 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall m, n \geq N_2,$$

$$\| (I - \frac{t}{m}H_2)^{-m}(x) - (I - \frac{t}{n}H_2)^{-n}(x) \| < \varepsilon'',$$

$t \in [0, T]$ (5)

and for $\varepsilon''' = \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_4}$

$$\exists N_3 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N_3,$$

$$\| u(s)x - (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(x) \| < \varepsilon''',$$

$s \in [0, S]$ (6)

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$, $(s, t) \in [0, S] \times [0, T]$ and $n \geq N$, by (4), (5), (6) and the equality

$$u(s)(I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2} = (I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}u(s),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| W(s, t) - (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(x)(I - \frac{t}{n}H_2)^{-n}(x) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| u(s)v(t)x - u(s)(I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2} \right\| \\ & + \left\| u(s)(I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}(x) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left\| (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(I - \frac{t}{n}H_2)^{-n}(x) \right\| \\ & \leq \|u(s)\| \left\| v(t) - (I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}(x)(I - \frac{t}{n}H_2)^{-n}(x) \right\| \\ & + \left\| (I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2} \right\| \left\| u(s)x - (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n}(x) \right\| \\ & + \left\| (I - \frac{s}{n}H_1)^{-n} \right\| \left\| (I - \frac{t}{N_2}H_2)^{-N_2}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (I - \frac{t}{n}H_2)^{-n}(x) \right\| \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem. \square

3. Applicable Examples

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $C_0(\Omega)$ be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on Ω vanishing at infinity. Let q_1 and q_2 be two continuous functions on Ω such that $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \text{Re} q_i(x) < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. If for s and t in R_+ , $e^{sq_1 + tq_2}(x) = e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)}$ and $T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t) : C_0(\Omega) \rightarrow C_0(\Omega) : f \mapsto e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)} f$, then it is not hard to see that $T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)$ is well-defined and $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in R_+^2}$ is a two-parameter semigroup which is called multiplication semigroup on $C_0(\Omega)$. The following proposition show that with changing of the q_i , $i = 1, 2$, we can construct many different two-parameter semigroups.

Proposition 3.1. With the above assumptions,

(a) $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in R_+^2}$ is a strongly continuous two-parameter semigroup.

(b) The generator of $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in R_+^2}$ is (M_{q_1}, M_{q_2}) where

$$M_{q_i} : C_0(\Omega) \rightarrow C_0(\Omega) : f \mapsto q_i f.$$

(c) $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if q_i 's, $i = 1, 2$, are bounded.

(d) $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ is a contractive if and only if $\operatorname{Re} q_i(x) < 0$, $i = 1, 2$, for all x belong to Ω .

(e) If for $s, t \geq 0$, $m_{s, t} : \Omega \rightarrow C$ is bounded functions such that $T(s, t)f = m_{s, t}f$ define a C_0 -two-parameter semigroup on $C_0(\Omega)$, then there exist continuous functions $q_i : \Omega \rightarrow C$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} q_i(x) < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $m_{s, t} = e^{sq_1 + tq_2}$.

Proof. (a) It is enough to show that the mapping $(s, t) \mapsto T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)f$ is continuous for every f in $C_0(\Omega)$ at $(0, 0)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, for $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{e^{|\omega_1| + |\omega_2| + 1}} \|f\|_\infty$ where $\omega_i = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} q_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon_0$, for all x in $\Omega - K$. Since and q_i , $i = 1, 2$ are continuous, there exist M_1 and M_2 such that $|q_i(x)| < M_i$, for all x in $\Omega - K$, let $s_0 = \frac{\ln(\varepsilon + 1)}{2M_1}$ and $t_0 = \frac{\ln(\varepsilon + 1)}{2M_2}$, then for $x \in K$ and $s < s_0$, $t < t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)| &\leq s|q_1(x)| + t|q_2(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln(\varepsilon + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\varepsilon + 1) \\ &= \ln(\varepsilon + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)} - 1| < \varepsilon$, for all $x \in K$ and $s < s_0$, $t < t_0$. And so

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{sq_1 + tq_2}f - f\|_\infty &\leq \sup_{x \in K} (|e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)} - 1| |f(x)|) \\ &\quad + (e^{|\omega_1| + |\omega_2| + 1}) \sup_{x \in \Omega - K} |f(x)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty \\ &= 2\varepsilon \|f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

(b) Define $T_{q_1}(s)f = e^{sq_1}f$ and $T_{q_2}(t)f = e^{tq_2}f$. Then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{q_1}f(x) - f(x)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{sq_1}f(x) - f(x)}{s}$$

$$= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{sq_1(x)} - 1}{s} \right) f(x) = q_1(x) f(x)$$

$$i.e. \frac{d}{ds} T_{q_1}(s) = M_{q_1}, \text{ similarly } \frac{d}{dt} T_{q_2}(t) = M_{q_2}.$$

(c) First we show that q_1 and q_2 are bounded if and only if M_{q_1} and M_{q_2} are bounded, for; let, q_i , $i = 1, 2$, be bounded then

$$\|M_{q_i}f\|_\infty = \|q_i f\|_\infty \leq \|q_i\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty.$$

Hence $\|M_{q_i}\| \leq \|q_i\|_\infty$. Conversely if $\|M_{q_i}\| = m < \infty$, then for every x in Ω , by Urysohn's lemma there exists a function f_x in $C_0(\Omega)$ such that $\|f_x\|_\infty = f_x(x) = 1$, this implies

$$\|M_{q_i}\| \geq \|M_{q_i}f_x\|_\infty \geq |q_i(x)f_x(x)| = |q_i(x)|$$

for all x belong to Ω .

We know that $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if its generator, (M_{q_1}, M_{q_2}) , is bounded and hence $\{T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if q_1 and q_2 are bounded.

(d) If $\|T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)\| \leq 1$, for all $s, t \geq 0$, then

$$\|T_{q_1, q_2}(s, 0)\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|T_{q_1, q_2}(0, t)\| \leq 1,$$

so $\|e^{sq_1}f\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\|e^{tq_2}f\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all f in $C_0(\Omega)$. Hence $|e^{sq_1(x)}f(x)| \leq 1$ and $|e^{tq_2(x)}f(x)| \leq 1$ for all x in Ω , now let $x_0 \in \Omega$, by Urysohn's lemma we can choose f in $C_0(\Omega)$ such that $\|f\|_\infty = f(x_0) = 1$ thus

$$|e^{sq_1(x_0)}| \leq 1 \text{ and } |e^{tq_2(x_0)}| \leq 1$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $s, t \geq 0$,

this implies that $\operatorname{Re} q_i(x_0) < 0$, for all x_0 in Ω .

(e) Let $m'_s = m_{s, 0}$ and $m''_t = m_{0, t}$, trivially $T'(s)f = m'_s f$ and $T''(t)f = m''_t f$ define two C_0 -one-parameter semigroups. It follows from [4].4.6.p.28 that there exist continuous functions $q_i : \Omega \rightarrow C$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} q_i(x) < \infty, m'_s(x) = e^{sq_1(x)}$$

and

$$m_i(x) = e^{tq_2(x)}$$

Hence $m_{s,t}(x) = m'_s m''_t(x) = e^{sq_1(x)+tq_2(x)}$, and

this completes the proof of proposition. \square

In the special case if $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ trivially $C_0(\Omega) = C^m$. If $q_1 = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ and $q_2 = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ then

$$M_{q_1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_{q_2} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t) = e^{sM_{q_1} + tM_{q_2}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{sa_1 + tb_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{sa_2 + tb_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{sa_m + tb_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since q_1 and q_2 are bounded, $T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)$ is uniformly continuous. It is easy to see that every uniformly continuous two-parameter semigroup with the infinitesimal generator (H, K) has the form $e^{sH + tK}$.

Remark 3.2. For two-parameter semigroup $W(s, t)$ with the infinitesimal generator (H, K) , the spectral mapping theorem for one-parameter semigroup implies that

$$e^{s\sigma(H)} \subseteq \sigma(W(s, 0)) \quad e^{t\sigma(K)} \subseteq \sigma(W(0, t))$$

thus $e^{s\sigma(H) + t\sigma(K)} \subseteq \sigma(W(s, 0))\sigma(W(0, t))$, but the following example shows that the inclusion

$$e^{s\sigma(H) + t\sigma(K)} \subseteq \sigma(W(s, t))$$

is not valid in general.

Let $T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)$ be Multiplication semigroup on $C_0(\Omega)$ with the infinitesimal generator (M_{q_1}, M_{q_2}) , from [4].I.4.2, we have

$$\sigma(M_{q_i}) = \overline{\{q_i(x) : x \in \Omega\}} = \overline{\{q_i(\Omega)\}}$$

and

$$s\sigma(M_{q_1}) + t\sigma(M_{q_2}) \supseteq \{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x) : x_1, x_2 \in \Omega\},$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)) &= \{\lambda : \lambda - T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t) \text{ is not invertible}\} \\ &= \{e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)} : x \in \Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

For appropriate q_1, s, q_2 and Ω , trivially $e^{s\sigma(M_{q_1}) + t\sigma(M_{q_2})} \supseteq \{e^{sq_1(x_1) + tq_2(x_2)} : x_1, x_2 \in \Omega\}$ is very larger than $\sigma(T_{q_1, q_2}(s, t)) = \{e^{sq_1(x) + tq_2(x)} : x \in \Omega\}$. \square

References

1. Butzer P.L. and Berens H. *Semi-group of Operators and Approximation*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1967).
2. Dunford N. and Schwartz J.T. *Linear Operators*. Interscience Publisher, pt. I (1958); pt. II (1963).
3. Dunford N. and Segal I.E. Semi-group of operators and the Weierstrass theorem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52**: 911-914 (1946).
4. Engle K.J. and Nagle R. *One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Evaluation Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York (2000).
5. Hille E. and Phillips R.S. *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq., Vol. 31, Providence R.I. (1957).
6. Ivanova O.A. Certain Theorems on an n-parametric semigroup of bounded linear operators and their application to the theory of functions. (Russian) *Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhu.*, Vyp. 2, 34-41 (1966).
7. Janfada M. and Niknam A. On n-parameters abstract Cauchy problem. To appear in *Bul. of Aus. Math. Soc.*
8. Miamee A.G. Harmonisability, V-boundedness and stationary delation. *Indi. Uni.* (1978).
9. Niknam A. Infinitesimal generators of C*-algebras. *Potential Analysis*, **6**: 1-9 (1997).
10. Pazy A. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. 44, Springer-Verlag (1983).
11. Sakai S. On one-parameter subgroups of *-automorphisms on operator algebras and the corresponding unbounded derivations. *Amer. J. Math.*, **98**: 427-440 (1976).
12. Castern Van. *Generators of Strongly Continuous Semigroups*. Pitman Advanced Publishing, Research Note

151 (1985).