

On C_0 -Group of Linear Operators

M. Hassani* and A. Niknam

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

In this paper we consider C_0 -group of unitary operators on a Hilbert C^* -module E . In particular we show that if $A \subseteq L(E)$ be a C^* -algebra including $K(E)$ and α_t a C_0 -group of $*$ -automorphisms on A , such that there is $x \in E$ with $\langle x, x \rangle = 1$ and $\alpha_t(\theta_{x,x}) = \theta_{x,x}$ $t \in R$, then there is a C_0 -group u_t of unitaries in $L(E)$ such that $\alpha_t(a) = u_t a u_t^*$.

Keywords: C_0 -group of linear operators; Hilbert C^* -module; Unitary operator

1 Introduction

A one parameter family $T=T(t)$, $t \in R$ of bounded operators on Banach space $X(T:R \rightarrow B(X))$ is called a one parameter group if it satisfies:

i) $T(0)=I$

ii) $T(s+t)=T(s)T(t)$ $t,s \in R$. Moreover if

iii) For each $x \in X$ the map $t \rightarrow T(t)x$ from R to X is continuous with respect to norm topology of X , then $T(t)$ is called C_0 -group.

We define the infinitesimal generator of one parameter group $T(t)$ by

$$\delta x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} [T(t)x - x].$$

Where the domain $D(\delta)$ of δ is the set of all $x \in X$ such that the limit exists. See [3] Let A be a $*$ -algebra. An automorphism on A is an invertible linear operator $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(ab) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)$ and $\alpha(a^*) = (\alpha(a))^*$. An automorphism α on the algebra A called an inner automorphism if there is a unitary element $u \in A$ such that $\alpha(a) = uau^*$ for every $a \in A$. Suppose A is a C^* -algebra. Let E be a complex linear space which is a left

A -module and $\lambda(ax) = (\lambda a)x = a(\lambda x)$ where $\lambda \in C$, $a \in A$ and $x \in E$. The space E is called a pre-Hilbert A -module if there exists an (A -valued) inner product $\langle, \rangle: E \times E \rightarrow A$ such that for every $x, y \in E$, $\lambda \in C$ and $a \in A$, we have:

(i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$

(ii) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if $x = 0$

(iii) $\langle x + \lambda y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \lambda \langle y, z \rangle$

(iv) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$

(v) $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$

A pre-Hilbert A -module E is called a Hilbert A -module or Hilbert C^* -module over A , if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$. For example if A is a C^* -algebra, then A with its product as the usual action is left A -module. In addition if A equipped with the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = a b^*$ then it is a Hilbert A -module.

Suppose that E, F are Hilbert C^* -modules. We define $L(E, F)$ to be the set of all maps $t: E \rightarrow F$ for which there is a map $t^*: F \rightarrow E$ such that $\langle tx, y \rangle = \langle x, t^*y \rangle$ ($x \in E, y \in F$). It is easy to see that t must be A -linear and bounded. We call $L(E, F)$ the set of adjointable maps

from E to F . Thus every element of $L(E, F)$ is a bounded A -linear map. In particular, $L(E, F)$ which we abbreviate to $L(E)$ is a $*$ -algebra. Let E, F be Hilbert C^* -modules. For x in E and y in F , define $\theta_{x,y}: F \rightarrow E$ by $\theta_{x,y}(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x$ ($z \in F$). It is easy to check that $\theta_{x,y} \in L(E, F)$ with $(\theta_{x,y})^* = \theta_{y,x}$ and also that the following relations hold: (where G is Hilbert C^* -module)

$$\begin{aligned} t \theta_{x,y} &= \theta_{tx,y} & (t \in L(E, G)), \\ \theta_{x,y} s &= \theta_{x, sy} & (s \in L(G, F)). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $K(F, E)$ the closed linear subspace of $L(F, E)$ spanned by $\{\theta_{x,y} \mid x \in E, y \in F\}$ and we write $K(E)$ for $K(E, E)$. We also have $\overline{K(E)} = K(E)$ if A has an identity 1 and also $\overline{K(E)E} = E$ (See [1]). An operator $u \in L(E, F)$ is said to be unitary if $u^*u = I_E$ and $uu^* = I_F$. In this paper we consider Hilbert A -module E over unital C^* -algebra A . (See [1]-[3] for more result on Hilbert C^* -module and semi-group of linear operators).

2. The Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $u_t = u(t)$ be a C_0 -group of unitary operators on a Hilbert C^* -module E . Then $\alpha_t(a) = u_t a u_t^*$ ($a \in K(E)$) is a C_0 -group of automorphism on $K(E)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that α_t is an automorphism and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t(\theta_{x,y}) - \theta_{x,y} &= u_t(\theta_{x,y})u_t^* - \theta_{x,y} \\ &= \theta_{u_t x, u_t y} - \theta_{x, u_t y} + \theta_{x, u_t y} - \theta_{x,y} \\ &= (\theta_{u_t x - x, u_t y}) + (\theta_{x, u_t y - y}). \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_t(\theta_{x,y}) - \theta_{x,y}\| &\leq \|\theta_{u_t x - x, u_t y}\| + \|\theta_{x, u_t y - y}\| \\ &\leq \|u_t x - x\| \|u_t y\| + \|x\| \|u_t y - y\| \\ &= \|u_t x - x\| \|y\| + \|x\| \|u_t y - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_t is continuous then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha_t(\theta_{x,y}) - \theta_{x,y}\| = 0.$$

Which shows that $\alpha_t(\theta_{x,y})$ is continuous. Since $\{\theta_{x,y} \mid x, y \in E\}$ is dense in $K(E)$, thus $\alpha(a)$ is continuous for each $a \in K(E)$. This shows that α_t is a C_0 -group of $*$ -automorphisms. \square

Theorem 2. Let A be a C^* -algebra and $K(E) \subseteq A \subseteq L(E)$ and α_t a C_0 -group of $*$ -automorphisms on A such that there is $x \in E$ with $\langle x, x \rangle = 1$ and $\alpha_t(\theta_{x,x}) =$

$\theta_{x,x} t \in R$, then there is a C_0 -group u_t of unitaries in $L(E)$ such that $\alpha_t(a) = u_t a u_t^*$.

Proof. For each $a \in A$ we define $u_t(ax) = \alpha_t(a)x$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|ax\| &= \|\langle x, x \rangle ax\| \\ &= \|a(\theta_{x,x})x\| \leq \|a\theta_{x,x}\| \|x\| = \|a\theta_{x,x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|ax\| \leq \|a\theta_{x,x}\|$.

But

$$\|a\theta_{x,x}\| = \|\theta_{ax,x}\| \leq \|ax\| \|x\| = \|ax\|.$$

So we have

$$\|a\theta_{x,x}\| = \|ax\| = \|a(\theta_{x,x})x\|.$$

Similarly

$$\|\alpha_t(a)\theta_{x,x}\| = \|\alpha_t(a)(\theta_{x,x})x\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|ax\| &= \|a(\theta_{x,x})x\| = \|a\theta_{x,x}\| = \|\alpha_t(a\theta_{x,x})\| \\ &= \|\alpha_t(a)\alpha_t(\theta_{x,x})\| = \|\alpha_t(a)\theta_{x,x}\| = \|\alpha_t(a)\theta_{x,x}x\| \\ &= \|\alpha_t(a)x\| = \|u_t(ax)\|. \end{aligned}$$

So u_t is well defined isometry on Ax . Since A includes $K(E)$ for every $z \in E$ we have $\theta_{z,x} \in K(E)$ hence $\theta_{z,x} \in Ax$. But $\theta_{z,x}(x) = \langle x, x \rangle z = z$. So $z \in Ax$ and since $\overline{K(E)E} = E$ hence $\overline{Ax} = E$. Thus u_t can be extended to a unitary on E .

$$u_t^*(ax) = u_t^{-1}(ax) = \alpha_t^{-1}(a)x.$$

To justify group properties of u_t consider $u_0(ax) = \alpha_0(a)x = x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_s u_t(ax), bx \rangle &= \langle u_t(ax), u_{-s}(bx) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_t(a)x, \alpha_{-s}(b)x \rangle = \langle \alpha_{-s}(b^*) \alpha_t(a)x, x \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_{-s}(b^* \alpha_{t+s})(a)x, x \rangle = \langle u_{-s}(b^* \alpha_{t+s}(a)x), x \rangle \\ &= \langle b^* \alpha_{t+s}(a)x, u_{-s}^*(\theta_{x,x})x \rangle = \langle b^* \alpha_{t+s}(a)x, \alpha_s(\theta_{x,x})x \rangle \\ &= \langle b^* \alpha_{t+s}(a)x, x \rangle = \langle \alpha_{t+s}(a)x, bx \rangle = \langle u_{t+s}(a)x, bx \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

for each $a, b \in A$. Since $\overline{[Ax]} = E$, then $u_{t+s} = u_t u_s$. Strong continuity of u_t follows by:

$$\|u_t(ax) - ax\| = \|(\alpha_t(a) - a)x\| \leq \|\alpha_t(a) - a\| \|x\|$$

Therefore u_t is a C_0 -group of unitaries on E . Finally for each $a, b \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} u_t a u_t^*(bx) &= u_t \alpha_t^{-1}(b)x \\ &= \alpha_t(\alpha_t^{-1}(b))x \\ &= \alpha_t(a)(bx) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the density of $[Ax]$ in E that $\alpha_t(a) = u_t a u_t^*$. \square

Theorem 3. Let $A \subseteq L(E)$ be a C^* -algebra including $K(E)$ and there is $x \in E$ with $\langle x, x \rangle = 1$ and α be an automorphism on A such that $\alpha(\theta_{x,x}) = \theta_{y,y}$ where $\langle y, y \rangle = 1$.

Then there is a unitary operator u in $L(E)$ such that $\alpha(a) = u a u^*$, ($a \in A$).

Proof. Define for each $a \in A$, $u(ax) = \alpha(a)y$. The proof of Theorem 2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(ax)\| &= \|\alpha(a)y\| = \|\alpha(a)\theta_{y,y}y\| = \|\alpha(a)\theta_{y,y}\| \\ &= \|\alpha(a)\alpha(\theta_{x,x})\| = \|\alpha(a\theta_{x,x})\| \|\theta_{x,x}\| = \|ax\|. \end{aligned}$$

So u is well-defined isometry on Ax . Since $A \subseteq K(E)$, $\overline{[Ax]} = E$. So u is an invertible isometry on E with inverse $u^{-1}(ay) = \alpha^{-1}(a)x$. Hence u is a unitary operator. Now

$$\begin{aligned} u a u^*(by) &= u a \alpha^{-1}(b)x \\ &= \alpha(a\alpha^{-1}(b))y \\ &= \alpha(a)(by) \quad (b \in A) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u a u^* = \alpha(a)$ on Ay . The density of $[Ay]$ in E completes the proof. \square

References

1. Lance E.C. Hilbert C^* -module, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press (1995).
2. Niknam A. On one-parameter automorphism groups of $K(H)$. *Proc. of Ninth Iranian Math. Conf.*, **9**: 266-275 (1978).
3. Pazy A. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).