

( )

\*

( // : - // : )

6

/

6

.()

( )  
( )

( )

/ / /

( )

( )

( ) :

( )

( )

( ) ( )

( )

( )

...

:

( )

δ

( )

( )

( )

( )

X<sub>i</sub>

P<sub>X<sub>i</sub></sub>

:

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i P_{X_i}$$

(

H:

q:

n:

( )

$$\left( \frac{1}{3} + \right) = ( )$$

هزینه گروه هزینه ای پایین (۳) - خط فقر = درصد خانوارهای زیر خط فقر بین دو گروه هزینه ای ۳ و ۲  
 هزینه گروه هزینه ای پایین (۳) - هزینه گروه هزینه ای بالا (۱)

(۴)

$$\begin{matrix} \text{درصد خانوارهای} \\ \text{زیر خط فقر بین} \\ \text{دو گروه هزینه ای} \end{matrix} \times \begin{matrix} \text{کل خانوارهای} \\ \text{قرار گرفته} \\ \text{در حد بالا} \end{matrix} = \text{تعداد خانوارهای زیر خط فقر بین دو گروه هزینه ای}$$

(۵)

$$\begin{matrix} \text{کل خانوارهای فقیر} \\ = \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \text{تعداد خانوارهای زیر خط} \\ \text{فقر بین دو گروه هزینه ای} \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} \text{خانوارهای فقیر قرار گرفته} \\ \text{در گروههای هزینه ای پایین تر} \end{matrix}$$

(۶)

$$\text{کل خانوارهای فقیر} \times 100 = \frac{\text{تعداد کل خانوارها}}{\text{نسبتاً سرشماری}}$$

(۷)

( ) :

$$H = \frac{q}{n} \times 100$$

( )

...

:

$$Gini = g(Y, GDPP, Edu, He, Ir, El, R) \quad ($$

$$P = h(Y, Gini, Edu, He, Ir, El, R) \quad ($$

:

:Gini

:Y

:P

(GPP)

:GDPP

:Edu

:Ir


:He

:El

:R

( )

( )




/

( )

( )

( )

( )



/

( )

( )

)

... :  
/ ( / ) ( )

/ /

/

/ /

( )

( )

SHAZAM

P.C<sup>2</sup>

Log-Log

( )

---

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

---

/ (R<sup>2</sup>)

/ (D.W.)

- 
- 1 .Dicky-Fuller
  - 2 ..Principal Component



Log - Log	( ) Ln Gini :	=
Constant	( / )	/
Ln y	( / )	/ *
Ln Labor	( / )	/ *
Ln R ( )	( / )	/
Ln El	( / )	/
Ln He	( / )	/
Ln Edu	(+ / )	+ / *
Ln Ir	( / )	/
Ln GDP	( / )	/ *
DUM1	( / )	/ *
$R^2 = 0.96$	$\bar{R}^2 = 0.93$	D.W. = 2.09
( )	( )	:
t	:	*

( )

( )

)

( )  
D.W. PC

( $\bar{R}^2 = /$   $R^2 = /$  )

" "

)

(( ) ( )

Log-Log	( ) Ln Poverty :	=
Constant	( / )	( / )
Ln Gini	( / )	( / )
Ln y	( / )	( / )
Ln R ( )	( / )	( / )
Ln El	( / )	( / ) *
Ln Edu	( / )	( / )
Ln Ir	( / )	( / ) *
DUM2	( / )	( / ) *
DUM3	( / )	( / ) *
T	( / )	( / )
$R^2 = /$	$\bar{R}^2 = /$	D.W. = /
	( )	:
t	:	*

GDP

## REFERENCES

19. Bourguignon, F., & C. Morrisson. 1998. Inequality and Development: the role of dualism. *Journal of Development Economics*, 57(2), 233-257.
20. Ciccone, A. & K. Matsuyama, 1996. Start-up costs and pecuniary externalities as barriers to economic development, *Journal of Development Economics*, 49, 33-59.
21. Datt, G., & M. Ravallion. 1996. How important to India's poor is the sectoral composition of economic growth?. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 10(1), 1-25.
22. Datt, G., & M. Ravallion. 1998. Farm Productivity and Rural Poverty in India. *Journal of Development Studies*. 34(4): 62-85.
23. Fan, S. 2003. Public investment and poverty reduction: What have we learnt from India and China? International Food Policy Research Institute. ADBI Conference, Tokyo.
24. Fan, S., P. Hazell, & S. Thorat. 1999. Linkages between government spending, growth, and poverty in rural India. IFPRI research report 110. IFPRI, Washington.
25. Gallup, J., S. Radelet, & A. Warner. 1997. Economic Growth and the Income of the poor. Harvard Institute for International Development. CAER II Discussion Paper No. 36. HIID. Cambridge. MA.
26. Hazell, P., & L. Haddad. 2001. Agricultural research and poverty reduction, Food, Agriculture and Environment. Discussion Paper No. 34. IFPRI/ Technical Advisory Committee of CGIAR. Washington DC.
27. Jimenez, E. Y. 1995. Human and physical infrastructure, in Behrman, Jere and T. N. Srinivasan Eds. *Handbook of Development Economics*, Volume 33, Elsevier Science. North Holland: 2773-2843.
28. Lipton, M. 1977. Why poor people stay poor? Urban bias in world development. London: Temple Smith.
29. Mellor, J. 2001. Faster more equitable growth-agriculture, employment multipliers and poverty reduction. Paper prepared for USADD/G/EGAD Conference .
30. Murphy, K., A. Shleifer, & R. W. Vishny. 1989. Industrialization and Big Push. *Journal of Political Economy* 97(5), 1003-26.
31. Thirtle, C., L. Lin, & J. Piesse. 2003. The impact of research-led agricultural productivity growth on poverty reduction in Africa, Asia and Latin America. *World Development* Vol. 31. No.12: 1959-1975.
32. Thorbecke, E., & H. Jung. 1996. A multiplier decomposition method to analyze poverty alleviation. *Journal of Development Economics*. 48(2): 279-300.
33. War, P. G., & W. Wang. 1999. Inequality and economic growth in Taiwan. In G. Ranis & R. Hu(Eds). *The Political Economy of Development in Taiwan: Essays in memory of John CH Fei*. London: Edward Elgar:133-165.
34. White, H., & E. Anderson. 2000. Growth versus distribution: Does the pattern of growth matter. Working Paper. Institute of Development Studies. University of Sussex.
35. Wodden, Q. 1999. Growth, poverty and inequality: A regional panel for Bangladesh research. Working Paper. No. 2072. The World Bank. Washington, DC.

