

## Asymptotic Behaviors of the Lorenz Curve for Left Truncated and Dependent Data

M. Bolbolian Ghalibaf,<sup>1,\*</sup> V. Fakoor,<sup>2</sup> and H.A. Azarnoosh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, School of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Islamic Republic of Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran

Received: 16 October 2011 / Revised: 29 April 2012 / Accepted: 30 June 2012

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to provide some asymptotic results for nonparametric estimator of the Lorenz curve and Lorenz process for the case in which data are assumed to be strong mixing subject to random left truncation. First, we show that nonparametric estimator of the Lorenz curve is uniformly strongly consistent for the associated Lorenz curve. Also, a strong Gaussian approximation for the associated Lorenz process is established under appropriate assumptions. Using this strong Gaussian approximation, a law of the iterated logarithm for the Lorenz process is also derived.

**Keywords:** Law of the iterated logarithm; Lorenz curve; Strong Gaussian approximation; Strong mixing; Truncated data

### Introduction

Pietra [25] and Gastwirth [18] independently introduced the *Lorenz curve* corresponding to a non-negative random variable (rv)  $X$  with a distribution function (df)  $F$ , quantile function  $Q(p)$  and finite mean  $E(X) = \mu$  as:

$$L_F(t) := \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t Q(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

In econometrics, with  $X$  representing income,  $L(t)$  gives the fraction of total income that the holders of the lowest  $t^{\text{th}}$  fraction of income possesses. Most of the measures of income inequality are derived from the Lorenz curve. An important example is the Gini index

associated with  $F$  defined by

$$G_F := \frac{\int_0^1 [u - L_F(u)] du}{\int_0^1 u du} = 1 - 2(CL)_F,$$

where  $(CL)_F = \int_0^1 L_F(u) du$  is the *cumulative Lorenz curve* corresponding to  $F$ . This is a ratio of the area between the Lorenz curve and the  $45^\circ$  line to the area under the  $45^\circ$  line. The numerator is usually called the *area of concentration*. Kendall and Stuart [21] showed that this is equivalent to a ratio of a measure of dispersion to the mean. In general, these notions are useful for measuring concentration and inequality in distributions of resources, and in size distributions. For a list of applications in different areas, we refer the

\* Corresponding author, Tel.: +98(571) 4003118, Fax: +98(511)8828605, E-mail: m\_bolbolian@yahoo.com

readers to Csörgő and Zitikis [9].

To estimate the Lorenz curve, one can use the *Lorenz statistic*  $L_n(y)$  defined by

$$L_n(y) = \frac{1}{\mu_n} \int_0^y Q_n(u) du, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1,$$

where  $\mu_n$  is the sample mean and  $Q_n(y)$  is the empirical quantile function constructed from independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sample taken from  $F$ .

Goldie [19] proved the uniform consistency of  $L_n$  to  $L_F$  and derived the weak convergence of the *Lorenz process*  $l_n(t) := \sqrt{n} [L_n(t) - L_F(t)]$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  to a Gaussian process under suitable conditions. Csörgő et al. [6] gave a unified treatment of strong and weak approximations of the Lorenz and other related processes. In particular, they established a strong invariance principle for the Lorenz process, by which Rao and Zhao [26] derived one of their two versions of the law of the iterated logarithm (LIL) for the Lorenz process. Different versions of the LIL under weaker assumptions are also obtained by Csörgő and Zitikis ([9], [11]). In Csörgő and Zitikis [10], confidence bands for the Lorenz curve that are based on weighted approximations of the Lorenz process are constructed. Csörgő et al. [7], obtained weak approximations for Lorenz curves under random right censorship. Strong Gaussian approximations for the Lorenz process when data are subject to random right censorship and left truncation was established by Tse [27], he is also derived a functional LIL for the Lorenz process.

However, in most economic situations, the basic sequence of observations may not be independent. It is more realistic to assume some form of dependence among the data are observed. Csörgő and Yu [8], obtained weak approximations for Lorenz curves and its inverse under the assumption of mixing dependence. Glivenko-Cantelli-type asymptotic behavior of the empirical generalized Lorenz curves based on random variables forming a stationary ergodic sequence with deterministic noise were considered by Davydov and Zitikis [12]. Davydov and Zitikis [13] established a large sample asymptotic theory for the empirical generalized Lorenz curves when observations are stationary and either short-range or long-range dependent. Strong laws for the generalized absolute Lorenz curves when data are stationary and ergodic sequences established by Helmers and Zitikis [20]. Based on the generalized Lorenz curves Davydov et al. [14] proposed a statistical index for measuring the fluctuations of a stochastic

process. They developed some of the asymptotic theory of the statistical index in the case where the stochastic process is a Gaussian process with stationary increments and a nicely behaved correlation function. The uniform strong convergence rate of the Lorenz curve estimator under strong mixing hypothesis is obtained by Fakoor et al. [17]. They also established a strong Gaussian approximation for the Lorenz process, by which they derived a functional LIL for the Lorenz process, under the assumption of strong mixing. The counterpart of these results for the censored dependent model was established by Bolbolian et al. [2].

The purpose of this paper is to provide some asymptotic results for Lorenz process  $l_n(t)$  for the case in which data are assumed to be strong mixing subject to random left truncation.

Consider a sequence of rv's  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  with common unknown absolutely continuous df  $F$  and finite mean  $\mu$ . These rv's are regarded as the lifetimes of the items under study which may not be mutually independent. Among the different forms in which incomplete data appear, right censoring and left truncation are two common ones. Left truncation may occur if the time origin of the lifetime precedes the time origin of the study. Only subjects that fail after the start of the study are being observed, otherwise they are left truncated. This means that some subjects are sampled, while others are neglected. This model arises in various fields, e.g., astronomy, economy and medical studies (see, e.g. [28]). Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with continuous df  $G$ , they are also assumed to be independent of the rv's  $X_i$ 's. In the left truncation model,  $(X_i, T_i)$  is observed only when  $X_i \geq T_i$ . Let  $(X_1, T_1), \dots, (X_n, T_n)$  be a sample which one observes (i.e.,  $X_i \geq T_i$ ), and  $\gamma := P(T_1 \leq X_1) > 0$ , where  $P$  is the absolute probability (related to the  $N$ -sample). Note that  $n$  itself is a rv and that  $\gamma$  can be estimated by  $\frac{n}{N}$  (although this estimator cannot be calculated since  $N$  is unknown). Assume, without loss of generality, that  $X_i$  and  $T_i$  are nonnegative random variables,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . For any df  $L$  denotes the left and right endpoints of its support by  $a_L = \inf\{x : L(x) > 0\}$  and  $b_L = \sup\{x : L(x) < 1\}$ , respectively. Then under the current model, as discussed by Woodroffe [28], we assume that  $a_G \leq a_F$  and  $b_G \leq b_F$ . Define

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C(x) &= P(T_1 \leq x \leq X_1 | T_1 \leq X_1) \\ &= P(T_1 \leq x \leq X_1) = \gamma^{-1} G(x)(1-F(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(\cdot) = P(\cdot | n)$  is the conditional probability (related to the  $n$ -sample) and consider its empirical estimate

$$(1.2) \quad C_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(T_i \leq x \leq X_i),$$

where  $I(\cdot)$  is the indicator function. Then the product-limit (PL) estimator  $\hat{F}_n$  of  $F$  is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{F}_n(x) = 1 - \prod_{X_i \leq x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{nC_n(X_i)} \right).$$

The cumulative hazard function  $\Lambda(x)$  is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Lambda(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dF(u)}{1-F(u)}.$$

Let

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} F^*(x) &= P(X_1 \leq x | T_1 \leq X_1) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq x) = \gamma^{-1} \int_0^x G(u) dF(u), \end{aligned}$$

be the df of the observed lifetimes. Its empirical estimator is given by

$$F_n^*(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x).$$

On the other hand, the df of the observed  $T_i$ 's is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(x) &= P(T_1 \leq x | T_1 \leq X_1) \\ &= P(T_1 \leq x) = \gamma^{-1} \int_0^\infty G(x \wedge u) dF(u), \end{aligned}$$

and is estimated by

$$G_n^*(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(T_i \leq x).$$

It then follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} C(x) &= G^*(x) - F^*(x), \\ C_n(x) &= G_n^*(x) - F_n^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally (1.1), (1.4) and (1.5) give

$$\Lambda(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dF^*(u)}{C(u)}.$$

Hence, a natural estimator of  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\hat{\Lambda}_n(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dF_n^*(u)}{C_n(u)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I(X_i \leq x)}{nC_n(X_i)},$$

which is the usual so-called Nelson-Aalen estimator of  $\Lambda$ . Moreover,  $\hat{\Lambda}_n$  is the cumulative hazard function of the PL estimator  $\hat{F}_n$  defined in (1.3).

The quantile function  $Q$  and its empirical counterpart  $Q_n$  are defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(p) &= \inf\{x \in \mathfrak{R}; F(x) \geq p\} \quad \text{and} \\ Q_n(p) &= \inf\{x \in \mathfrak{R}; \hat{F}_n(x) \geq p\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < 1$ . We defined the Lorenz curve corresponding to rv  $X$  as:

$$L_F(p) := \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{p_0}^p Q(s) ds, \quad p_0 \leq p \leq p_1,$$

where  $\mu = \int_{p_0}^{p_1} Q(s) ds$ . Therefore the natural estimator for the Lorenz curve  $L_F(p)$  is

$$L_n(p) := \frac{1}{\mu_n} \int_{p_0}^p Q_n(s) ds, \quad p_0 \leq p \leq p_1,$$

where  $\mu_n = \int_{p_0}^{p_1} Q_n(s) ds$ .

The main aims of this paper are to derive strong uniform consistency of the Lorenz statistic and strong Gaussian approximation for Lorenz process, for the case in which data are assumed to be dependent subject to random left truncation. As a result of our strong Gaussian approximation, we obtain a functional LIL for the Lorenz process.

In this paper we consider the strong mixing dependence, which amounts to a form of asymptotic independence between the past and the future as shown by its definition.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  denote a sequence of random variables. Given a positive integer  $m$ , set

$$(1.8) \quad \alpha(m) = \sup_{k \geq 1} \{ |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|; A \in \mathfrak{F}_1^k, B \in \mathfrak{F}_{k+m}^\infty \}$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_i^k$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field of events generated by  $\{X_j; i \leq j \leq k\}$ . The sequence is said to be strong mixing ( $\alpha$ -mixing) if the mixing coefficient  $\alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Among various mixing conditions used in the literature, strong mixing is reasonably weak and has many practical applications (see, e.g. [16], [4] or [5] for more details). In particular, Masry and Tjostheim [24]

proved that, both ARCH processes and nonlinear additive AR models with exogenous variables, which are particularly popular in finance and econometrics, are stationary and strong mixing.

Now we introduce our main assumption that is used to state our results gathered below for easy reference.

**A.**  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of stationary strong mixing rv's with mixing coefficient  $\alpha(n) = O(e^{-(\log n)^\nu})$  for some  $\nu > 0$ .

In the next Section, we present our main results.

**Results**

In this section we first derive strong uniform consistency of the Lorenz statistic and strong Gaussian approximation for Lorenz process, for the case in which data are assumed to be dependent subject to random left truncation and finally as a result of our strong Gaussian approximation, we obtain a functional LIL for the Lorenz process.

Theorem 1 below proves the uniform strong consistency with rate of the estimator  $L_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < 1$ . Under Assumption **A**, assuming that  $F' = f$  is bounded away from zero on  $[Q(p_0) - \delta, Q(p_1) + \delta]$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$(2.1) \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} |L_n(p) - L_F(p)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}}\right) \text{ a.s.}$$

**Proof.** An elementary computation shows that,

$$(2.2) \begin{aligned} L_n(p) - L_F(p) &= \frac{1}{\mu_n} \int_{p_0}^p [Q_n(s) - Q(s)] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_n - \mu}{\mu_n} L_F(p). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that,

$$(2.3) \mu_n - \mu = \int_{p_0}^{p_1} [Q_n(s) - Q(s)] ds.$$

Now, by using (2.2), (2.3) and Lemma 3 of [23], we obtain the results.  $\square$

For construct strong Gaussian approximation we first introduce the following Gaussian process, which plays an important role to present our strong approximation.

Let  $g_j(s) = I(X_j \leq s) - F^*(s), j \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.4) \begin{aligned} \Gamma(s, s') &= \text{cov}(g_1(s), g_1(s')) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [\text{cov}(g_1(s), g_j(s')) + \text{cov}(g_1(s'), g_j(s))]. \end{aligned}$$

Define, for  $0 \leq t \leq b$ , two parameter mean zero Gaussian process

$$(2.5) B(t, n) := \frac{K(t, n)/\sqrt{n}}{C(t)} + \int_0^t \frac{K(u, n)/\sqrt{n}}{C^2(u)} dC(u),$$

where  $\{K(s, t), 0 \leq s, t \leq b\}$  is a Kiefer process in Theorem 3 of [15] with covariance function

$$\Gamma^*(t, t', s, s') = \min(t, t') \Gamma(s, s'),$$

and  $\Gamma(s, s')$  given by (2.4).

We now restate below a strong approximation by Bolbolian et al. [3] for the normed PL-quantile process  $\rho_n(u) := \sqrt{nf}(Q(u))[Q(u) - Q_n(u)]$  by a two parameter Gaussian process at the rate  $O((\log n)^{-\lambda})$ , for some  $\lambda > 0$ . The statements are conditional on the observed sample size  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.** (Bolbolian et al. [3]) Let  $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < 1$ . Under Assumption **A**, assume that  $F$  is Lipschitz continuous and that  $F$  is twice continuously differentiable on  $[Q(p_0) - \delta, Q(p_1) + \delta]$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $f$  is bounded away from zero, then there exists a two parameter mean zero Gaussian process  $B(t, u)$  for  $t, u \geq 0$ , such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} |\rho_n(p) - (1-p)B(Q(p), n)| \\ = O((\log n)^{-\lambda}) \text{ a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$   $\square$

We will give strong Gaussian approximation of the Lorenz process over restricted interval  $[p_0, p_1]$  for fixed  $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < 1$ .

In the full model, Langberg et al. [22] define the total time on test transform curve corresponding to a continuous distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty), H_F^{-1}(p)$ , for  $p \in [0, 1]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} H_F^{-1}(p) &= \int_0^p (1-y) dQ(y) \\ &= (1-p)Q(p) + \int_0^p Q(y) dy, \quad Q(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $H_F^{-1}(p) \leq H_F^{-1}(1) := \lim_{p \uparrow 1} H_F^{-1}(p) = \mu$ . For the our model, we modify the definition of  $H_F^{-1}(p)$  as

$$(2.6) \begin{aligned} H_F^{-1}(p) &= (p_1 - p)Q(p) \\ &+ \int_{p_0}^p Q(y) dy, \quad p \in [p_0, p_1]. \end{aligned}$$

As  $p_0 \downarrow 0$  and  $p_1 \uparrow 1$ ,  $H_F^{-1}(p_1) \rightarrow \int_0^1 Q(y) dy = \mu$ .

We can regard  $H_F^{-1}(p_1)$  as a surrogate for the finite mean  $\mu$ . A natural estimator for  $H_F^{-1}(p)$  is

$$H_n^{-1}(p) = (p_1 - p)Q_n(p) + \int_{p_0}^p Q(y) dy, \quad p \in [p_0, p_1].$$

In the next theorem, we construct a two parameter mean zero Gaussian process that strongly uniformly approximate the empirical process  $l_n(p)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 < 1$ . Under Assumption A, assume that  $F$  is Lipschitz continuous and that  $F$  is twice continuously differentiable on  $[Q(p_0) - \delta, Q(p_1) + \delta]$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $f$  is bounded away from zero. Then there exists a two parameter mean zero Gaussian process  $B(t, u)$  for  $t, u \geq 0$ , such that, almost surely,

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| l_n(p) - \frac{1}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \left( \int_{p_0}^p \frac{(p_1 - y)B(Q(y), n)}{f(Q(y))} dy - L_F(p) \int_{p_0}^{p_1} \frac{(p_1 - y)B(Q(y), n)}{f(Q(y))} dy \right) \right| = O\left((\log n)^{-\lambda}\right),$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** See the Appendix.  $\square$

The next theorem gives a functional LIL for the Lorenz process. We work on the probability space of Theorem 3. Let  $D[a, b]$   $D[a, b]$  be the space of functions on  $[a, b]$  that are right continuous and have left limits and  $B$  is the unit ball in the reproduce kernel Hilbert space  $H(\Gamma^*)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. On a rich enough probability space,  $l_n(\cdot) / \sqrt{2 \log \log n}$  is almost surely relatively compact in  $D[p_0, p_1]$  with respect to the supremum norm and its set of limit points is

$$G = \left\{ g_h : g_h(u) = \frac{1}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \int_{p_0}^u \frac{h(y)}{f(Q(y))} dy \right\}$$

$$-L_F(u) \int_{p_0}^{p_1} \frac{h(y)}{f(Q(y))} dy, \quad p_0 \leq u \leq p_1, \quad h \in H \left. \right\},$$

where

$$H = \left\{ h : [p_0, p_1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}, h(u) = \frac{g(u)}{C(u)} + \int_0^u \frac{g(x)}{C^2(x)} dC(x) : g \in B \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Theorem 4 follows at once from (2.7) and Theorem A in [1].  $\square$

### Appendix

In establishing Theorem 3, we were aided by some ideas found in [27], but first we start with the following lemmas which is necessary for achieving the establishment of the our results.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. We have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} |H_n^{-1}(p) - H_F^{-1}(p)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}}\right) \text{ a.s.}$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 3 of [25], we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} |H_n^{-1}(p) - H_F^{-1}(p)| &\leq \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} [(p_1 - p)|Q_n(p) - Q(p)|] \\ &\quad + \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \int_{p_0}^p |Q_n(y) - Q(y)| dy \\ &= O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}}\right) \text{ a.s. } \square \end{aligned}$$

Next, define the normed total time on test empirical process  $t_n(p)$  by

$$t_n(p) = \sqrt{n} [H_n^{-1}(p) - H_F^{-1}(p)], \quad p \in [p_0, p_1].$$

Lemma 2 characterize the asymptotic limit of  $t_n(p)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then there exists a two parameter mean zero Gaussian process  $B(t, u)$  for  $t, u \geq 0$ , such that,

$$\sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| t_n(p) - \left( \int_{p_0}^p \frac{(p_1 - y)B(Q(y), n)}{f(Q(y))} dy \right) \right|$$

$$\left. + \frac{(p_1-p)^2 B(Q(p), n)}{f(Q(p))} \right) = O((\log n)^{-\lambda}), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Proof.** Proof of this lemma can be done using similar augment of Lemma 3.2 in [27], we therefore omit the proof.  $\square$

Next, we define the scaled total time on test transform, its statistic and associated empirical process corresponding to  $F$ .

$$(3.1) \quad W_F(p) := \frac{H_F^{-1}(p)}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)}, \quad W_n(p) := \frac{H_n^{-1}(p)}{H_n^{-1}(p_1)},$$

and

$$w_n(p) := \sqrt{n} [W_n(p) - W_F(p)]$$

for  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ .

The following lemmas give the strong uniform consistency of  $W_n(p)$  and strong Gaussian approximation of the scaled total time on test empirical process respectively.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. We have,

$$\sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} |W_n(p) - W_F(p)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}}\right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Proof.** By triangular inequality and Lemma 1, the left hand side is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| \frac{H_n^{-1}(p)}{H_n^{-1}(p_1)} - \frac{H_n^{-1}(p)}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \right| \\ & + \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| \frac{H_n^{-1}(p)}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} - \frac{H_F^{-1}(p)}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| H_n^{-1}(p) \frac{H_F^{-1}(p_1) - H_n^{-1}(p_1)}{H_n^{-1}(p_1) H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \right| \\ & + \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| \frac{1}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} [H_F^{-1}(p) - H_n^{-1}(p)] \right| \\ & = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}}\right) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then there exists a two parameter mean zero Gaussian process  $B(t, u)$  for  $t, u \geq 0$ , such that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{p_0 \leq p \leq p_1} \left| w_n(p) - \frac{1}{H_F^{-1}(p_1)} \right. \\ & \left. \left( \int_{p_0}^p \frac{(p_1-y)B(Q(y), n)}{f(Q(y))} dy + \frac{(p_1-p)^2 B(Q(p), n)}{f(Q(p))} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{H_F^{-1}(p)}{(H_F^{-1}(p_1))^2} \int_{p_0}^{p_1} \frac{(p_1-y)B(Q(y), n)}{f(Q(y))} dy \right) \\ & = O((\log n)^{-\lambda}) \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** Proof can be done along the lines of Lemma 3.5 of [27], we therefore omit the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Definition of the Lorenz curve corresponding to  $F$  in the our model and by using (2.6) and (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad W_F(y) = \frac{(p_1-y)Q(y)}{\int_{p_0}^{p_1} Q(u)du} + L_F(y).$$

We have also

$$(3.3) \quad W_n(y) = \frac{(p_1-y)Q_n(y)}{\int_{p_0}^{p_1} Q_n(u)du} + L_n(y), \quad y \in [p_0, p_1].$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.3) in Lemma 4, we obtain the result.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to sincerely thank anonymous referees for the careful reading of the manuscript

### References

1. Berkes, I. and Philipp, W. An almost sure invariance principle for the empirical distribution function of mixing random variables. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete.* **41**: 115-137 (1977).
2. Bolbolian Ghalibaf, M., Fakoor, V. and Azarnoosh, H.A. Asymptotic behaviors of the Lorenz curve for censored data under strong mixing. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods.* **40**: 323-332 (2011).
3. Bolbolian Ghalibaf, M., Fakoor, V. and Azarnoosh, H.A. Strong Gaussian approximations of product-limit and quantile processes for truncated data under strong mixing. *Statistics and Probability Letters.* **80**: 581-586 (2010).
4. Cai, Z. Kernel density and hazard rate estimation for censored dependent data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis.*

- 67: 23-34 (1998).
5. Cai, Z. Estimating a distribution function for censored times series data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*. **78**: 299-318 (2001).
  6. Csörgő, M., Csörgő, S. and Horváth, L. *An asymptotic theory for empirical reliability and concentration processes*. Lecture Notes in Statistics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Vol. **33**, (1986).
  7. Csörgő, M., Csörgő, S. and Horváth, L. Estimation of total time on test transforms and Lorenz curves under random censorship. *Statistics*. **18**: 77-97 (1987).
  8. Csörgő, M. and Yu, H. Weak approximations for empirical Lorenz curves and their Goldie inverses of stationary observations. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **31**: 698-719 (1999).
  9. Csörgő, M. and Zitikis, R. Strassen's LIL for the Lorenz curve. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*. **59**: 1-12 (1996a).
  10. Csörgő, M. and Zitikis, R. *Confidence bands for the Lorenz curve and Goldie curves*. In A volume in honor of Samuel Kotz. NewYork: Wiley (1996b).
  11. Csörgő, M. and Zitikis, R. On the rate of strong consistency of Lorenz curves. *Statistics and Probability Letters*. **34**: 113-121 (1997).
  12. Davydov, Y. and Zitikis, R. Convergence of generalized Lorenz curves based on stationary ergodic random sequences with deterministic noise. *Statistics and Probability Letters*. **59**: 329-340 (2002).
  13. Davydov, Y. and Zitikis, R. Generalized Lorenz curves and convexifications of stochastic processes. *J. Appl. Probab.* Vol. **40**, No. **4**: 906-925 (2003).
  14. Davydov, Y., Khoshnevisan, D., Shic, Z. and Zitikis, R. Convex rearrangements, generalized Lorenz curves, and correlated Gaussian data. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. **137**: 915-934 (2007).
  15. Dhompongsa, S. A note on the almost sure approximation of the empirical process of weakly dependent random variables. *Yokohama Math. J.* **32**: 113-121 (1984).
  16. Doukhan, P. *Mixing: Properties and examples*. Lecture Notes in Statistics. **85**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1994).
  17. Fakoor, V. and Nakhaei Rad, N. Asymptotic behaviors of the Lorenz curve under strong mixing. *Pak. J. Stat.* In Press (2009).
  18. Gastwirth, J.L. A general definition of the Lorenz curve. *Econometrica*. **39**: 1037-1039 (1971).
  19. Goldie, C.M. Convergence theorems for empirical Lorenz curve and their inverses. *Advances in Applied Probability*. **9**: 765-791 (1977).
  20. Helmers, R. and Zitikis, R. Strong laws for generalized absolute Lorenz curves when data are stationary and ergodic sequences. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133**: 3703-3712 (2005).
  21. Kendall, M.G. and Stuart, A. *The advanced theory of statistics I*. (2nd. ed.) Charles Griffen and Company, London (1963).
  22. Langberg, N.A., Leon, R.V. and Proschan, F. Characterization of nonparametric classes of life distributions. *Annals of Probability*. **8**: 1163-1170 (1980).
  23. Lemdani, M., Ould-Said, E. and Poulin, N. Strong representation of the quantile function for left truncated and dependent data. *Mathematical Methods of statistics*. Vol. **14**, No. **3**: 332-345 (2005).
  24. Masry, E. and Tjostheim, D. Nonparametric estimation and identification of nonlinear ARCH time series: Strong convergence and asymptotic normality. *Econ. Theor.* **11**: 258-289 (1995).
  25. Pietra, G. Delle relazioni fra indici di variabilità, note I e II. *Atti del Reale Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti*. **74**: 775-804 (1915).
  26. Rao, C.R. and Zhao, L.C. Strassen's law of the iterated logarithm for the Lorenz curves. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*. **54**: 239-252 (1995).
  27. Tse, S.M. Lorenz curve for truncated and censored data. *AIMS*. **58**: 675-686 (2006).
  28. Woodroffe, M. Estimating a distribution function with truncated data. *Ann. Statist.* **13**: 163-177 (1985).