

( )

\*

( // : // : )

± ± ±  
± ± ±

( )

- 
1. Genetic progress
  2. Genetic trend

E-mail:arashidi@uok.ac.ir

( )

---

: : \*

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

/ ± /

/ ± / / ± /

( )

( )

± / ± /

± ± / ( )

± / ± / ± /

( )

± / ( )

± /

± /

( )

± / ± /

( )

± ±

± ±

/ /

( )

/ ± / / ± / / ± /

/ /

( )

/ /

/ /

/ /

( )

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

$$y_i = X_i b_i + Z_i a_i + e_i$$

$$g_{11} \quad g_{12} \quad g_{13}$$

$$g_{22} \quad g_{23}$$

$$g_{33}$$

Log L

DF REML      AI-REML

$$y = Xb + Z_1 a + e$$

$$y = Xb + Z_1 a + Z_2 m + e \quad \text{Cov}(a, m) = 0$$

$$y = Xb + Z_1 a + Z_2 m + Z_3 c + e \quad \text{Cov}(a, m) = 0$$

$$y_i = X_i b_i + Z_i a_i + e_i$$

SPSS

$$t = \frac{b_1 - b_2}{s_b}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Var \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11}A & g_{12}A & g_{13}A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_{21}A & g_{22}A & g_{23}A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_{31}A & g_{32}A & g_{33}A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(P > / )

Log L

( )

( ) / ± / / ± / / ± /

( )

Log L

( ) ( ) ( ) ( )

Log L	Log L	Log L	h <sup>2</sup> ±SE
/	/	/	/ ± /
/	/	/	/ ± /
/	/	/	/ ± /

( )

( )

( )

)

(

( )

(P> / )


( )



( )


( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )
±	±	±	±	±	±
±	±	±	±	±	±
±	±	±	±	±	±

.( )




( )

( )



.( )

( )



( )

( )

## REFERENCES

6. Duguma, G., S. J. Schoeman, S.W. P. Cloete & G. F. Jordaan. 2002. Genetic and environmental parameters for ewe productivity in Merinos. *S. A. J. Anim. Sci.* 32: 154-159.
7. Hannford, K. J., L. D. Van Vleck & G. D. Snowder. 2003. Estimation of genetic parameters and genetic change for reproduction, weight and wool characteristics of Targhee sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81: 630-640.
8. Jurado, J. J., A. Alonso & R. Alenda. 1994. Selection response for growth in Spanish Merino flock. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68: 3523- 3535.
9. Kovac, M. and E. Groenveld. 1990. Genetic and environmental trends in German swine herdbook population. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68:3523-3535.
10. Lasslo, L. L., G. E. Bradford, D. T. Torell & B. W. Kennedy. 1985. Selection for weaning weight in Targhee sheep in two environment. I. Direct response. *J. Anim. Sci.* 61:376-386.
11. Lasslo, L. L., G. E. Bradford, D. T. Torell & B. W. Kennedy. 1985. Selection for weaning weight in Targhee sheep in two environment. II. Correlated effects. *J. Anim. Sci.* 61:387-395.
12. Meyer, K. 2000. DFREML: Program to estimate variance components by Restricted Maximum Likelihood, using a derivative-free algorithm. Use note, Ver. 4.0.
13. Pattie, W.A. 1965 a. Selection for weaning weight in Merino sheep. I. Direct response to selection. *Aus. J. Exp. Agri. Anim. Husb.* 5: 353-360.
14. Pattie, W.A. 1965 b. Selection for weaning weight in Merino sheep. II. Correlated response in other production characters. *Aus. J. Exp. Agri. Anim. Husb.* 5:361-368.
15. Shaat, I., S. Galal & H. Mansour. 2004. Genetic trends for lamb weights in flocks of Egyptian Rahmani and Ossimi sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.* 51: 23-28.
16. Shrestha, J. N. B., H. F. Peters, D. P. Heaney & L. D. Van Vleck. 1996. Genetic trends over 20 years of selection in three synthetic Arcotts, Suffolk, & Finnish Landrace sheep breeds. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 76: 23-34.
17. Yapi-gnaore, C. V., J. E. Rege, A. Oya & N. Alemayehu. 1997. Analysis of an open nucleus breeding program for Djallonke sheep in the Ivory Coast. 2: Response to selection on body weight. *J. Anim. Sci.* 64: 301-307.